Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

## BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD PERMITTING AND ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE

BETH C.

CERTIFICATE

IN THE MATTER OF THE:

)

PERMITTING AND ENFORCEMENT

COMMITTEE MEETING

DATE AND TIME: WEDNESDAY,
AUGUST 6, 1997

11:05 A.M.

PLACE: BOARD
HEARING ROOM

8800 CAL
CENTER
DRIVE
SACRAMENTO,
CALIFORNIA

REPORTER:

DRAIN, RPR, CSR

NO. 7152

## APPEARANCES

MR. ROBERT C. FRAZEE, CHAIRMAN

MR. STEVEN R. JONES, MEMBER

MR. PAUL RELIS, MEMBER

## STAFF PRESENT

 $\mbox{MR.}$  RALPH CHANDLER, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER MS. KATHRYN TOBIAS, LEGAL COUNSEL

MS. LORI LOPEZ, COMMITTEE SECRETARY

## I N D E X

		PAGE_NO	
ITEM 1:	ORDER AND EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS REPORT FROM THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR ITTING AND ENFORCEMENT DIVISION.		
PERMIT F	CONSIDERATION OF A NEW SOLID WAS OR THE WILLITS SOLID WASTE TRANS G CENTER, MENDOCINO COUNTY.		
	STAFF PRESENTATION PUBLIC TESTIMONY		48
	DISCUSSION ACTION		50 52
_	CONSIDERATION OF A NEW SOLID WAS		_
	STAFF PRESENTATION PUBLIC TESTIMONY DISCUSSION		45
	ACTION		47
ITEM 4: CONSIDERATION OF A REVISED SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE TAFT SANITARY LANDFILL, KERN COUNTY.			
	STAFF PRESENTATION		41
	PUBLIC TESTIMONY DISCUSSION ACTION		43 45
	(PULLED) CONSIDERATION OF A REVIPERMIT FOR THE MAXWELL TRANSFER		
ITEM 6:	(PULLED) CONSIDERATION OF THE IS:	SUAN	ICE OF A NEW
MAJOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT FOR WENBURY			
ENVIRONMENTAL COMPANY LIMITED, D/B/A RUBBERTECH, MERCED			
COUNTY.			

STAFF PRESENTATION 7 PUBLIC TESTIMONY 8 DISCUSSION ACTION 12 ITEM 8: CONSIDERATION OF THE ADOPTION OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION AND THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS FOR STORAGE AND CHIPPING AND GRINDING ACTIVITIES. STAFF PRESENTATION 53 PUBLIC TESTIMONY 56 DISCUSSION 59, 70, 79, 83 ACTION 86 ITEM 9: INFORMATION ON AND DISCUSSION OF THE TITLE 27 SOLID WASTE FACILITIES PERMITTING PROCESS. 12 STAFF PRESENTATION 31 PUBLIC TESTIMONY 15, 32, 36 DISCUSSION ACTION ITEM 10: OPEN DISCUSSION ITEM 11: ADJOURNMENT 87

ITEM 7: CONSIDERATION OF NEW SITES FOR THE SOLID WASTE

DISPOSAL AND CODISPOSAL SITE CLEANUP PROGRAM.

- 1 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 6, 1997
- 2 11:05 A.M.

3

- 4 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: MEETING WILL COME TO
- 5 ORDER, PLEASE. THIS IS THE AUGUST 6TH MEETING OF
- 6 THE PERMITTING AND ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE OF THE
- 7 CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD.
- 8 SECRETARY WILL CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE.
- 9 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER JONES.
- 10 MEMBER JONES: HERE.
- 11 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER RELIS.
- 12 MEMBER RELIS: HERE.
- 13 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN FRAZEE.
- 14 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: HERE. ALL MEMBERS ARE
- 15 PRESENT.
- 16 DO WE HAVE ANY EX PARTE
- 17 COMMUNICATIONS?
- 18 MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR, OTHER THAN I
- 19 BELIEVE THERE HAVE BEEN SEVERAL LETTERS THAT HAVE
- 20 ARRIVED AND ONE THIS MORNING, AND WE HAD ONE FROM
- 21 BIOGROW, I BELIEVE.
- 22 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: YES.
- 23 MEMBER RELIS: WE HAVE ALL THE SAME
- LETTERS.
- 25 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: THE FIRST LETTER IS ONE

- 1 FROM THE MIDPENINSULA REGIONAL OPEN SPACE DISTRICT
- 2 REGARDING ITEM 7 AND ONE FROM BIOGROW, DIVISION OF
- 3 WMX TECHNOLOGIES, REGARDING ITEM 8.
- 4 MEMBER JONES: I DON'T HAVE ANY. MINE
- 5 WERE UP TO SPEED AT THE BOARD MEETING.
- 6 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: NOW, FOR PURPOSES OF
- 7 GETTING OUR AGENDA IN ORDER, FIRST OF ALL, ITEMS 5
- 8 AND 6 HAVE BEEN PULLED FROM TODAY'S AGENDA. AND
- 9 THEN WE ARE GOING TO VARY THE ORDER OF TAKING UP
- 10 ITEMS A BIT AND HOPEFULLY DO ITEMS 7 AND 9 AND
- 11 THEN TAKE A LUNCH BREAK IF THAT WORKS OUT WELL
- 12 WITH EVERYONE.
- AND AS A REMINDER, AND IT LOOKS LIKE
- 14 MOST PEOPLE HAVE COMPLIED ALREADY. IF YOU WISH TO
- 15 SPEAK ON AN ITEM BEFORE TODAY'S MEETING, THERE ARE
- 16 SPEAKER SLIPS IN THE BACK OF THE ROOM. IF YOU
- 17 WOULD FILL OUT ONE OF THOSE INDICATING THE ITEM
- 18 THAT YOU WISH TO ADDRESS AND BRING IT FORWARD TO
- 19 THE COMMITTEE SECRETARY, WE'LL CALL UPON YOU AT
- 20 THE APPROPRIATE TIME.
- NOW, LET'S GO TO ITEM 7. THIS IS
- THE CONSIDERATION OF NEW SITES FOR THE SOLID WASTE
- 23 DISPOSAL AND CODISPOSAL SITE CLEANUP PROGRAM.
- MS. RICE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.
- 25 MARGE ROUCH WILL INTRODUCE THE ITEM.

- 1 MS. ROUCH: ACTUALLY I'M GOING TO
- 2 INTRODUCE WES MINDERMANN, WHO WILL BE GIVING THE
- 3 ITEM.
- 4 MR. MINDERMANN: GOOD MORNING, MR.
- 5 CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE. AGENDA
- 6 ITEM 7 BEFORE YOU THIS MORNING IS FOR
- 7 CONSIDERATION OF FUNDING REMEDIATIONS AT THE
- 8 FOLLOWING SITES UNDER THE SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL AND
- 9 CODISPOSAL SITE CLEANUP PROGRAM: THE OLD DUNNIGAN
- 10 LANDFILL IN YOLO COUNTY, THE MORRO BAY BURN DUMP
- 11 IN SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, AND THE SKYLINE RIDGE
- 12 ILLEGAL DISPOSAL SITE IN SAN MATEO COUNTY.
- TO GIVE YOU A BRIEF PROGRAM SUMMARY,
- 14 THE BOARD HAS APPROVED A TOTAL OF 61 SITES FOR
- 15 REMEDIATION UNDER THE SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL AND
- 16 CODISPOSAL SITE CLEANUP PROGRAM. FORTY-FIVE SITES
- 17 HAVE BEEN COMPLETED TO DATE WITH 13 SITES
- 18 CURRENTLY BEING WORKED ON. THREE SITES HAVE BEEN
- 19 REMOVED FROM CONSIDERATION.
- 20 ALL THREE SITES PRESENTED FOR YOUR
- 21 CONSIDERATION ARE PROPOSED BOARD-MANAGED CLEANUPS
- 22 UTILIZING THE BOARD'S CONTRACTORS. INFORMATION
- 23 REGARDING THE SITES, PROPOSED METHOD OF
- 24 REMEDIATIONS, AND ESTIMATED COSTS ARE INCLUDED

ΙN

THE AGENDA ITEM. IF APPROVED, TWO OF THE SITES,

- 1 THE OLD DUNNIGAN LANDFILL AND THE MORRO BAY BURN
- 2 DUMP, ARE THE FIRST PROJECTS TO BE CONDUCTED IN
- 3 YOLO COUNTY AND SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY
- 4 RESPECTIVELY.
- 5 PRIOR TO BRINGING THESE SITES FOR
- 6 FUNDING APPROVAL, STAFF HAVE INVESTIGATED THESE
- 7 SITES AND DETERMINED THAT THEY ARE ELIGIBLE FOR
- 8 FUNDING UNDER THE SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL AND
- 9 CODISPOSAL SITE CLEANUP PROGRAM. STAFF RECOMMEND
- 10 THE BOARD APPROVE THE FUNDING FOR THE BOARD-
- 11 MANAGED REMEDIATION OF THE THREE PROPOSED SITES.
- 12 THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION. I
- 13 HAVE MR. TOM MOORE OF THE YOLO COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS
- 14 DEPARTMENT WHO'D LIKE TO SPEAK IN SUPPORT OF THE
- 15 OLD DUNNIGAN LANDFILL PROJECT. MR. GREG SHIRLEY
- 16 OF THE SAN MATEO COUNTY LEA'S OFFICE TO SPEAK ON
- 17 THE SKYLINE RIDGE ILLEGAL DISPOSAL SITE PROJECT.
- 18 AND MR. RANDY ANDERSON OF THE MIDPENINSULA
- 19 REGIONAL OPEN SPACE DISTRICT TO SPEAK IN SUPPORT
- 20 OF THE SKYLINE RIDGE ILLEGAL DISPOSAL SITE PROJECT
- 21 ALSO.
- 22 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. FINE. MR.
- 23 MOORE, REPRESENTING YOLO COUNTY.
- 24 MR. MOORE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN,
- 25 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. I APPRECIATE THE

- 1 OPPORTUNITY TO BE HERE TODAY TO EXPRESS YOLO
- 2 COUNTY'S APPRECIATION FOR CONSIDERATION OF THIS
- 3 FUNDING TO CLEAN UP THE OLD DUNNIGAN LANDFILL
- 4 LOCATED ON BUCKEYE CREEK NEAR THE COUNTY LINE WITH
- 5 COLUSA ON COUNTY ROAD 2.
- 6 THIS IS A CREEK THAT HAS A HIGH
- 7 SEDIMENTATION RATE AND HIGH RATES OF EROSION, AND
- 8 THE RECENT HEAVY RAINS OF '93 AND '95 AND ALSO
- 9 THIS YEAR HAVE PROGRESSIVELY EXPOSED WASTE FROM
- 10 THE OLD BURN DUMP. THE BURN DUMP OPERATED FROM
- 11 THE '40S TO THE MID-'70S; AND WITH WASTE EXPOSED,
- 12 WE HAVE AN UNHAPPY ENVIRONMENTAL SITUATION THAT WE
- 13 WOULD LIKE VERY MUCH TO FIX. AND WE APPRECIATE
- 14 THE WORK OF YOUR STAFF IN COORDINATING THIS
- 15 EFFORT.
- AND YOLO COUNTY HAS CONTRIBUTED TO
- 17 THE EFFORT AS WELL BY SURVEYING THE SITE, BY DOING
- 18 HISTORICAL RESEARCH ON AVAILABLE INFORMATION FOR
- 19 THE SITE, INCLUDING THE TYPE OF INFORMATION THAT
- 20 WILL BE NEEDED TO DESIGN CREEK BANK RESTORATION.
- 21 THE PROJECT BEFORE YOU INCORPORATES THE USE OF A
- 22 CONSULTANT, WHO IS AN EXPERT IN BIOENGINEERING FOR
- 23 CREEK BANK RESTORATION, THAT WILL USE A
- 24 COMBINATION OF MECHANICAL AND PLANTING
- 25 STABILIZATION OF THE CREEK BANK.

1 I WANTED TO ALSO MENTION THAT THE 2. COUNTY WILL BE ASSISTING WITH SOME OF THE 3 ENGINEERING AND WITH THE FREE DISPOSAL OF ANY OF 4 THE WASTE GENERATED FROM THE CLEANUP THAT CAN BE 5 MOVED OFF SITE. 6 I'D LIKE ALSO TO TAKE THIS 7 OPPORTUNITY TO THANK THE INTEGRATED WASTE 8 MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR THEIR SUPPORT ON OTHER PROJECTS AT YOLO COUNTY, SPECIFICALLY FUNDING FOR 9 RESEARCH ON THE USE OF SHREDDED TIRES FOR LANDFILL 10 GAS COLLECTION. TODAY AT 1 P.M. THE PROJECT 11 12 FUNDED BY THE WASTE BOARD AT YOLO COUNTY WHERE WE 13 USE SHREDDED TIRES TO TEST HOW EFFECTIVE IT IS IN 14 LANDFILL GAS COLLECTION, IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE 15 LEACHATE RECIRCULATION PROJECT, WILL BE SHOWCASED BEFORE THE NATION'S SOLID WASTE PROFESSIONALS. 16 17 AS YOU KNOW, THE SOLID WASTE 18 ASSOCIATION OF NORTH AMERICA IS IN SESSION AT THE 19 HYATT TODAY. THE FEATURED TOUR FOR THAT CONFERENCE WILL BE THE YOLO COUNTY CENTRAL 20 21 LANDFILL BIOREACTOR. AND INCLUDED IN THAT IS THE 22 COMPARISON OF THE USE OF SHREDDED TIRES VERSUS 23 TRADITIONAL GRAVEL LANDFILL GAS COLLECTION WELLS.

AND AGAIN, WE APPRECIATE THE WASTE BOARD'S SUPPORT

OF THAT PROJECT AND THE WASTE BOARD'S WORK IN

24

25

- 1 CONJUNCTION WITH YOLO COUNTY WILL BE DISPLAYED
- 2 BEFORE ALL THIS AFTERNOON, SO IT WILL BE A
- 3 LANDMARK DAY FOR US.
- 4 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: GOOD. THANK YOU. NOW,
- 5 SOMEONE REPRESENTING THE MIDPENINSULA OPEN SPACE
- 6 DISTRICT THAT I DIDN'T GET A SLIP FROM, I HAVE
- 7 RANDY ANDERSON, BUT THERE WAS ANOTHER NAME I
- 8 MISSED.
- 9 MR. SCHIRLE: MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE,
- 10 MY NAME IS GREG SCHIRLE. I'M WITH SAN MATEO
- 11 COUNTY LEA PROGRAM. AND I'D LIKE TO THANK YOU AND
- 12 YOUR STAFF FOR CONSIDERATION OF THE SKYLINE RIDGE
- 13 PROJECT. AND I HAVE MR. RANDY ANDERSON HERE
- 14 TODAY. HE'S REPRESENTING THE MIDPENINSULA OPEN
- 15 SPACE DISTRICT AND WILL BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY OF
- 16 YOUR QUESTIONS.
- 17 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: MR. ANDERSON. I DON'T
- 18 KNOW THAT WE HAVE ANY, DO WE, AT THIS POINT?
- 19 MEMBER JONES: I THINK THE LETTER KIND OF
- ANSWERED.
- MR. ANDERSON: YEAH. WE PROVIDED A
- LETTER FROM THE GENERAL MANAGER. BE HAPPY TO
- 23 RESPOND TO ANY QUESTIONS OR PROVIDE ANY
- 24 CLARIFICATION.
- 25 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: I THINK THE LETTER

- 1 RESOLVED THE OUTSTANDING ISSUE THAT WE HAD. OKAY.
- 2 THANK YOU.
- 3 LET'S SEE. THAT COMPLETES THE LIST
- 4 OF THOSE WISHING TO SPEAK. ANY DISCUSSION; OR,
- 5 IF, NOT, A MOTION IS IN ORDER.
- 6 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'LL MAKE A
- 7 MOTION THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION 97-445.
- 8 MEMBER RELIS: SECOND.
- 9 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: WE HAVE A MOTION AND
- 10 SECOND TO APPROVE THE CLEANUP OF SITES UNDER THE
- 11 SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL AND CODISPOSAL SITE CLEANUP
- 12 PROGRAM. SECRETARY WILL CALL THE ROLL ON THAT,
- 13 PLEASE.
- 14 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER RELIS.
- 15 MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
- 16 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER JONES.
- 17 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN FRAZEE.
- 19 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE. MOTION IS
- 20 CARRIED. IF THERE'S NO OBJECTION, WE'LL RECOMMEND
- 21 CONSENT ON THAT ITEM. THANK YOU.
- 22 NOW LET'S GO TO ITEM 9. THIS IS
- 23 INFORMATION ON AND DISCUSSION OF TITLE 27 SOLID
- 24 WASTE FACILITY PERMITTING PROCESS.
- MS. RICE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

- 1 GEORGIANNE TURNER AND SUZANNE HAMBLETON WILL MAKE
- 2 A PRESENTATION.
- 3 MS. TURNER: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN AND
- 4 COMMITTEE MEMBERS. I'M GEORGIANNE TURNER OF THE
- 5 P&E DIVISION. AND THIS ITEM NO. 9 IS AN
- 6 INFORMATIONAL ITEM ON THE PERMITTING PROCESS AFTER
- 7 THE ADOPTION OF TITLE 27.
- 8 AS YOU KNOW, WE BROUGHT THIS ITEM TO
- 9 THE COMMITTEE LAST MONTH IN ORDER TO PROVIDE
- 10 INFORMATION ON HOW THE PERMITTING REGULATIONS HAVE
- 11 BEEN STREAMLINED TO MEET THE MANDATE OF THE SOLID
- 12 WASTE DISPOSAL REFORM ACT.
- 13 IF YOU RECALL, BASICALLY I OUTLINED
- 14 THE HIGHLIGHTS OF THE CHANGES IN THE REGULATIONS,
- 15 ONE BEING THAT THE LEA'S WILL NOW BE CERTIFYING
- 16 THE APPLICATION PACKAGES, THAT THEY ARE COMPLETE
- 17 AND CORRECT, AND THAT RFI ELEMENTS ARE ALL IN THE
- 18 PACKAGE.
- 19 THE COMPLETE AND CORRECT
- 20 DETERMINATION, THERE ARE TWO DISTINCT ACTIVITIES.
- 21 THERE'S A COMPLETENESS DETERMINATION WHICH
- 22 BASICALLY SAYS ALL THE ELEMENTS ARE IN THE
- 23 PACKAGE, AND THEN THERE'S A CORRECTNESS
- 24 DETERMINATION, WHICH IS A LITTLE BIT MORE
- 25 DETAILED. IT BASICALLY -- THE DETERMINATION THAT

- 1 THE LEA MAKES IS THAT THE PACKAGE HAS ENOUGH
- 2 DETAILED INFORMATION TO MAKE A THOROUGH EVALUATION
- 3 OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF THE FACILITY AND
- 4 THAT THE FACILITY HAS THE CAPABILITIES OF MEETING
- 5 STATE MINIMUM STANDARDS, AND THAT ALL THE
- 6 INFORMATION IN THAT PACKAGE IS EXACT AND ACCURATE.
- 7 THE LEA'S ALSO WILL BE CERTIFYING
- 8 THAT THE CEQA DOCUMENTATION IS CONSISTENT AND
- 9 SUPPORTS THE PROPOSED PERMIT THAT YOU WOULD BE
- 10 ACTING ON. CONSEQUENTLY, THE BOARD STAFF REVIEW
- 11 WILL BE MINIMIZED TO LOOK, MAKE SURE THAT ALL THE
- 12 CERTIFICATIONS ARE IN THE PACKAGE, ALL THE
- 13 ELEMENTS OF THE APPLICATION PACKAGE AND RFI ARE
- 14 COMPLETE, AND THAT THE PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS OF THE
- 15 PERMIT, THE RFI, AND THE CEOA DOCUMENTATION IS
- 16 CONSISTENT.
- 17 MENTIONED BEFORE, AND THERE WAS A
- 18 LITTLE BIT OF DISCUSSION ON THIS LAST MONTH, THAT
- 19 ANY DISCREPANCIES AT THE TIME THAT WE BRING THIS
- 20 TO THE COMMITTEE, ANY DISCREPANCY IN THE PACKAGE,
- 21 IT WOULD BE BROUGHT TO THE COMMITTEE'S ATTENTION.
- 22 AND NORMALLY WE WOULD TRY AND WORK THESE THINGS
- 23 OUT BEFORE THEY GET TO THE COMMITTEE AS WE DO

NOW.

- 24 AND SINCE WE WOULD BE MAKING A
- 25 DETERMINATION ON COMPLETENESS AND THE LEA'S

- 1 BE MAKING A DETERMINATION ON ADEQUACY, THE LEA'S
- 2 ARE GOING TO BE MORE RESPONSIBLE FOR ANSWERING
- 3 DETAILED QUESTIONS AT THE COMMITTEE AND BOARD
- 4 HEARINGS. BOARD STAFF WILL BE SUPPORTING THE LEA
- 5 IN STATING WHETHER THEY HAVE MET THE PROCESSING
- 6 REQUIREMENTS AND REVIEW PROCESS REQUIREMENTS.
- 7 WE'LL BE -- HOPEFULLY THIS WILL FREE
- 8 UP SOME TIME FOR US TO DO MORE INFIELD WORK WITH
- 9 LEA'S TO PROVIDE TRAINING AND MORE TECHNICAL
- 10 ASSISTANCE TO THEM AT THE BEGINNING OF THE
- 11 PROJECTS AND ALSO HELP US DEVELOP MORE TECHNICAL
- 12 MANUALS THAT CAN HELP THE LEA'S IN THE FIELD.
- AND SO I DON'T KNOW AT THIS TIME IF
- 14 YOU WANT TO HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF DISCUSSION ON
- 15 THIS. EVEN THOUGH THIS IS AN INFORMATIONAL ITEM,
- 16 PAGE 4 OF YOUR AGENDA ITEM DOES GIVE THE BOARD
- 17 MEMBERS, COMMITTEE MEMBERS FOUR OPTIONS. I'M
- 18 SORRY. IT'S PAGE 5. THAT CONCLUDES MY
- 19 PRESENTATION AT THIS TIME.
- 20 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. ANY QUESTIONS?
- 21 MEMBER JONES: I JUST HAVE A COUPLE OF
- 22 QUESTIONS. PARTNERSHIP 2000, WHERE WE ARE TRYING
- 23 TO EMPOWER THE LEA'S THROUGH THE EDUCATIONAL
- 24 PROCESS, I THINK, IS A GOOD PROGRAM. BUT WHAT I
- 25 HAVE NOTICED, AND IT'S HARD -- AS AN OPERATOR FOR

- 1 A LOT OF YEARS, I WOULD GET FRUSTRATED FOR CERTAIN
- 2 ISSUES. AS A REGULATOR FOR SEVEN MONTHS, I GET
- 3 MORE FRUSTRATED WHEN I SEE INCOMPLETE DOCUMENTS
- 4 THAT COME TO US. AND WHEN CERTAIN LEA'S ARE
- 5 QUIZZED ABOUT THE INADEQUACY OF THOSE, THEY THROW
- 6 REGULATION AT US AND SAY UNDER THE STATUTE IT'S
- 7 OUR DUTY TO BLAH, BLAH, YOU KNOW. AND THAT'S
- 8 FINE, BUT YOU HAVEN'T ANSWERED THE QUESTION, YOU
- 9 KNOW.
- 10 WHAT I WORRY ABOUT, WHAT I AM THE
- 11 MOST CONCERNED ABOUT WITH THIS THING IS THAT ARE
- 12 WE GOING TO SEE OR WHAT IS OUR REMEDY GOING TO BE
- 13 TO SEE THESE PERMITS COME FORWARD THAT AREN'T
- 14 COMPLETE? EVEN IF AN LEA FEELS THAT THEY'RE
- 15 COMPLETE, BUT THEY DON'T -- YOU KNOW, THEY DON'T
- 16 HAVE THE LEVEL OF DETAIL THAT YOU PROVIDE US. I
- 17 THINK I MADE THE COMMENT THE LAST TIME "WE NEVER
- 18 SAW A PERMIT WE DIDN'T LIKE" BECAUSE ALL THE WORK
- 19 THAT YOU GUYS DO AHEAD OF TIME SO THAT WHEN WE GET
- 20 A PERMIT, IT IS A PERMIT THAT IS IN THE POSITION
- TO BE CONCURRED WITH USUALLY. I'M TROUBLED ABOUT
- 22 THAT ISSUE.
- 23 AND THEN I GUESS MY QUESTION IS IS
- 24 UNDER THE CERTIFICATION OF LEA'S, IF WE
- 25 CONSIDER -- IF WE CONTINUE TO -- IF WE SEE A LACK

- 1 OF PERFORMANCE OF MEETING WHAT THIS BOARD IS GOING
- 2 TO NEED TO BE ABLE TO CONCUR, DOES THAT BECOME
- 3 PART OF THE CERTIFICATION PROCESS FOR THAT LEA?
- 4 IF WE CONTINUALLY SEE INADEQUATE DOCUMENTATION,
- 5 DOES THAT -- IS THAT SOMETHING WE CAN DEAL WITH
- 6 THROUGH CERTIFICATION?
- 7 MS. TURNER: IT WOULD GO INTO THE
- 8 EVALUATION OF THE LEA'S PERFORMANCE. ANY
- 9 INADEQUATE DOCUMENTS THAT THEY HAD CERTIFIED, THAT
- 10 WOULD CERTAINLY BE NOTED AS AN EVALUATION ISSUE.
- 11 IT WOULD DEPEND ON HOW SEVERE THAT IT IS. IF IT'S
- 12 SOMETHING THAT'S ONGOING IN THAT COUNTY, DOES THIS
- 13 HAPPEN MORE THAN JUST ONCE, IS THIS A ONE-TIME
- 14 OCCURRENCE, AND THAT SORT OF THING ALSO, IT WOULD
- 15 BE AN INDICATOR TO US THAT WE NEED TO GO OUT AND
- 16 DO MORE TRAINING IN THAT AREA, MORE LOCALIZED
- 17 TRAINING.
- 18 MEMBER JONES: MY OTHER QUESTION WOULD BE
- 19 THERE'S A HIGH RATE OF -- NOT A HIGH RATE, BUT
- 20 THERE'S A SEMI-HIGH RATE OF REGISTERED
- 21 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SPECIALISTS THAT GET JOBS AS
- 22 LEA'S AND LEAVE. THEY'RE UPWARDLY MOBILE. THEY
- 23 WANT TO FIND A JOB SOMEWHERE ELSE IN BETTER
- 24 CONDITIONS. AND PERMITS GENERALLY STOP AT THAT
- 25 POINT ON THE LOCAL LEVEL WHERE YOU START ALL OVER

- 1 AGAIN. AND THOSE LEA'S SHOULD RELY ON WASTE BOARD
- 2 STAFF, THE NEW ONE, THE REPLACEMENT, TO HELP THEM
- 3 THROUGH THAT. WE'RE STILL GOING TO BE ABLE TO
- 4 OFFER THOSE TYPES OF SERVICES, RIGHT, TO THESE NEW
- 5 LEA'S?
- 6 I THINK WE SEE THE SAME LEA'S THAT
- 7 HAVE HISTORY, BUT I'VE DEALT WITH WHERE I'VE HAD
- 8 THREE LEA CHANGES IN A THREE-YEAR PERIOD, AND ONE
- 9 KNEW LESS THAN THE OTHER. IT DIDN'T GET BETTER;
- 10 IT GOT WORSE UNTIL THE END. THE LAST ONE WAS
- 11 GREAT. BUT, YOU KNOW, I MEAN I DON'T THINK
- 12 ANYBODY ON THIS COMMITTEE WANTS TO BE IN A
- 13 POSITION WHERE WE DON'T HAVE ALL THE INFORMATION
- 14 BECAUSE I MEAN I THINK WE'VE ALREADY PROVEN ON
- 15 THIS COMMITTEE THAT WE ARE NOT AFRAID TO NOT
- 16 CONCUR WITH A PERMIT IF IT'S NOT RIGHT, YOU KNOW,
- 17 I MEAN WE HAVE A HEALTH AND SAFETY ISSUE.
- 18 THOSE ARE THE TYPES OF THINGS THAT
- 19 I'M WORRIED ABOUT. I THINK WE NEED TO EMPOWER
- 20 LEA'S. I THINK THE TRAINING IS MANDATORY. I WANT
- 21 TO SEE THAT GROW. I DON'T HAVE ANY PROBLEM WITH
- 22 THAT, BUT I WANT TO BE ABLE TO TAKE CARE OF THE
- 23 STUFF THAT'S GOING TO FALL THROUGH THE CRACKS.
- 24 THE LEA'S THAT ARE NEW, THE LEA'S THAT DON'T
- 25 UNDERSTAND THE IMPACTS OF WHAT THEY'RE DOING.

- 1 AND, YOU KNOW, YOU GUYS KNOW WHERE THIS BOARD
- 2 COMES FROM SO YOU MAKE SURE THAT YOU'RE DEALING IN
- 3 THE DESCRIPTIONS WITH ISSUES THAT ARE VERY GERMANE
- 4 TO THESE MEMBERS. LEA'S DON'T HAVE THAT
- 5 OPPORTUNITY. YOU KNOW, THEY DON'T KNOW US FROM A
- 6 HOLE IN THE WALL. THEY DON'T KNOW WHAT OUR ISSUES
- 7 ARE, WHAT WE LOOK FOR.
- 8 SO I JUST SEE -- I THINK THAT A LOT
- 9 OF WORK HAS GOT TO GO INTO THIS TO MAKE SURE LEA'S
- 10 WALK OUT OF HERE FEELING GOOD AND NOT, YOU KNOW,
- 11 NOT BEAT UP, BUT I THINK -- YOU KNOW, THERE'S
- 12 GOING TO BE A REAL QUESTION THAT THERE'S GOING TO
- 13 BE TIMES THAT THEY ARE GOING TO GET BEATEN UP IF
- 14 THE PRODUCT IS NOT GOOD FOR US TO MAKE A DECISION
- 15 THAT'S GOING TO IMPACT HEALTH AND SAFETY. SO
- 16 THOSE ARE WHAT MY CONCERNS ARE.
- 17 MS. RICE: MR. JONES, OUR GOAL WOULD BE
- 18 TO PROVIDE MORE ASSISTANCE, NOT LESS UNDER THE
- 19 PROCEDURES AS DESCRIBED HERE. BUT AS GEORGIANNE
- 20 DESCRIBED, THE INTENT BE WOULD BE, WHEREVER
- 21 POSSIBLE, PROVIDE IT EARLIER RATHER THAN AT THE
- 22 TIME THE COMMITTEE IS HEARING A PERMIT. AS WE
- 23 NOTED THIS MORNING AND ON MANY OTHER PERMITS THAT
- 24 HAVE COME BEFORE YOU, THAT IS NOT THE TIME TO TRY
- 25 TO BE REWRITING AND ADDRESSING FUNDAMENTAL

- 1 QUESTIONS WITH DOES THE PERMIT WORK AND ARE THE
- 2 PIECES PRESENT. SO OUR HOPE WOULD BE THAT THROUGH
- 3 TRAINING, THROUGH ASSISTANCE IN THE FIELD THAT OUR
- 4 STAFF WOULD BE ABLE TO PROVIDE, RATHER THAN
- 5 FOCUSING ON REVIEW OF PERMITS ONCE THEY COME IN,
- 6 THAT OVER TIME IT SHIFTS TO THAT KIND OF A MODE
- 7 WHERE WE'RE PROVIDING THE ASSISTANCE UP FRONT.
- 8 AND I THINK YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY RIGHT,
- 9 THAT SPECIAL ATTENTION ALWAYS NEEDS TO BE PAID TO
- 10 NEW STAFF AND TO LEA'S THAT ARE UNDERGOING CHANGE.
- 11 IT'S NO DIFFERENT THAN OUR STATE STAFF OR ANY
- 12 OTHER ORGANIZATION. IF YOU HAVE CHANGE, YOU NEED
- 13 TO FIND A WAY TO BRING PEOPLE UP TO SPEED. AND,
- 14 OF COURSE, WE WOULD WANT TO OFFER EXTRA ASSISTANCE
- 15 TO THOSE WITH NEW AND CHANGING STAFF.
- 16 AND I THINK OUR GOAL WILL NOT CHANGE
- 17 IN TERMS OF WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THE ITEMS
- 18 BEFORE YOU ARE AS COMPLETE AS POSSIBLE AND YOU
- 19 HAVE THE INFORMATION THAT YOU NEED. IT IS SIMPLY
- 20 THAT WE WILL BE TRYING TO SHIFT TO ENABLING THE
- 21 LEA TO PROVIDE THAT TO THE GREATEST EXTENT
- 22 POSSIBLE, BUT WE DON'T FEEL THAT OUR OBLIGATION

TO

- 23 MAKE SURE YOU HAVE THAT HAS BEEN TAKEN AWAY
- 24 BECAUSE WE ARE YOUR STAFF.

MEMBER JONES: A QUESTION ON THAT. IF

- 1 THROUGH THE LEA ADVISORIES OR THROUGH WHATEVER
- MECHANISM, MAYBE IT'S NOT AN ADVISORY, BUT MAYBE
- 3 JUST COMMUNICATIONS FROM STAFF TO THE LEA'S, IF A
- 4 SERIES OF -- OR AS PERMITS COME UP AND WHAT THE
- 5 ISSUES WERE THAT THE BOARD MEMBERS BROUGHT UP,
- 6 WOULD THAT -- WOULD THERE BE A WAY TO GET THAT
- 7 INFORMATION OUT TO THE LEA'S SO THAT THEY HAVE
- 8 SOME INDICATORS AS TO -- EVERYBODY IS SMILING.
- 9 YOU THOUGHT OF THIS. THAT'S GOOD.
- 10 MS. RICE: WE HAVE BEEN USING INFORMAL
- 11 COMMUNICATION TOOLS VIA THE ROUND TABLES AND

#### OTHER

- 12 MECHANISMS TO BRING UP TOPICAL ISSUES OF INTEREST
- 13 THAT COME UP MONTHLY ON PERMITS AND HAVE HAD MANY
- 14 GOOD DISCUSSIONS ABOUT THOSE ISSUES. BUT I THINK
- 15 WHEN THOSE ISSUES GEL AND WE HAVE A SENSE OF WHAT
- 16 IS THE, NOT DIRECTION BECAUSE ADVISORIES ARE
- 17 SIMPLY ADVICE AND LETTERS ARE SIMPLY GUIDANCE,

#### BUT

- 18 WHAT IS THE SENSE OF THE BOARD? WHAT ARE ISSUES
- 19 THAT WE CAN SHARE WITH LEA'S FOR THEIR

#### INFORMATION

- 20 AND CONSIDERATION WHEN THEY'RE PREPARING THINGS?
- 21 I THINK WE CAN DO A MUCH BETTER

JOB,

22	AND THE HOPE IS THAT THROUGH IMPLEMENTATION OF
OUR	
23	DIVISION STRATEGIC PLAN AND THE BOARDWIDE
24	STRATEGIC PLAN, WE WILL FREE UP SOME RESOURCES TO
25	WORK ON GETTING MORE INFORMATION OUT TO LEA'S AND

- 1 MORE DIALOGUE ON PAPER BECAUSE IT'S VERY EASY TO
- 2 TALK ABOUT THESE ISSUES AND HAVE THEM EVOLVE, BUT
- 3 WE HAD A NUMBER OF ISSUES JUST IN YOUR SPECIAL
- 4 BOARD MEETING REGARDING WHAT IS NEEDED ON THE
- 5 DELINEATION OF PROPERTY AND THAT SORT OF THING.
- 6 VERY OFTEN INFORMATION MAY BE USEFUL TO SHARE, AND
- 7 WE SIMPLY HAVEN'T HAD THE TIME TO PUT THOSE THINGS
- 8 IN WRITING AND GET THEM OUT. AND WE WOULD HOPE TO
- 9 DO MUCH MORE OF THAT MUCH MORE FREQUENTLY.
- 10 MEMBER JONES: THANKS, MR. CHAIRMAN.
- 11 MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR, THIS IS AN
- 12 INFORMATION ITEM, AND I KNOW STAFF SAID, LOOK,
- 13 HERE ARE FOUR THINGS YOU CAN CONSIDER AT THE -- AS
- 14 OPTIONS. AND THEY RANGE FROM BRING IT BACK TO
- 15 FORWARD TO MAKE CHANGES TO TAKE NO ACTION. AND
- 16 THEN I LOOK TO THE ATTACHMENT A IN THE ITEM, PAGE
- 17 89. THAT ESSENTIALLY LISTS OUR PERMIT APPLICATION
- 18 PACKAGE AND THAT'S OUR CHECKLIST. I'M GOING BACK
- 19 TO --
- 20 MS. TURNER: ACTUALLY ATTACHMENT B IS THE
- 21 BOARD'S REQUIREMENTS, AND THE ATTACHMENT A IS THE
- LEA'S, JUST FOR A POINT OF CLARIFICATION.
- 23 MEMBER RELIS: NOW, SINCE THIS ISN'T AN
- 24 ACTION ITEM, UNLESS WE CHOOSE TO DO SOMETHING WITH
- 25 IT, I HAVE TWO QUESTIONS. DOES THIS IN ANY WAY

- 1 CLARIFY THE OLD PROBLEM WE'VE HAD WITH THE CLOCK,
- 2 WHEN THE CLOCK STARTS? DOES THIS SPEAK TO THAT AT
- 3 ALL? OR IT'S JUST A RESTATING OF WHAT WE HAVE
- 4 ALREADY?
- 5 MS. RICE: I THINK SINCE THE CLOCK IS SET
- 6 IN STATUTE, NO --
- 7 MEMBER RELIS: NOT THAT WE'RE CHANGING
- 8 THE CLOCK, BUT IS THERE ANYTHING EASIER FOR YOU OR
- 9 THE LEA TO DRAW THAT LINE WHEN THE PACKAGE IS
- 10 COMPLETE?
- 11 MS. RICE: NO. WE DO NOT HAVE A
- 12 COMPLETENESS REVIEW FOR OUR 60 DAYS, SO OUR CLOCK
- 13 STARTS WHEN WE RECEIVE THE PERMIT APPLICATION.
- 14 MS. TURNER: THERE IS -- IT'S NOT A
- 15 COMPLETENESS IN THE SENSE THAT IT'S THE LEA'S
- 16 30-DAY COMPLETENESS REVIEW, BUT WE DID HAVE NOW A
- 17 LIST OF ITEMS THAT OUTLINES THOSE THINGS THAT IF
- THEY'RE NOT THERE, THEN THE BOARD WOULD HAVE TO
- 19 OBJECT. THAT'S HOW THE REGULATIONS READ. SO
- 20 THERE IS NOW A LIST IN REGULATION. SO IF ONE OF
- 21 THOSE PIECES WAS MISSING, THEN IT'S CLEARER THAN
- 22 IT EVER HAS BEEN. AND THOSE ARE LISTED ON
- 23 ATTACHMENT B, THE FIRST COLUMN. IF ANY OF THOSE
- 24 ELEMENTS AREN'T THERE, THEN IT WOULD BE INCOMPLETE
- 25 FOR OUR PURPOSES TO ACT ON THE PERMIT.

- 1 MEMBER RELIS: OKAY. INCOMPLETE TO ACT.
- 2 ELABORATE.
- 3 MS. RICE: A REASON TO NONCONCUR IS
- 4 ANOTHER WAY OF STATING THAT, GROUNDS TO NONCONCUR.
- 5 MEMBER RELIS: BUT NOT THE MATTER OF,
- 6 WELL, IF THIS IS NOT READY FOR THE BOARD, AND SO
- 7 THE 60-DAY MATTER IS NOT EFFECTIVE.
- 8 MS. TOBIAS: IF YOU'RE REFERRING TO THE
- 9 SUGGESTION THAT I THINK WAS MADE ABOUT A YEAR AGO
- 10 WHERE WE WERE GOING TO SET A CALENDAR AND
- 11 BASICALLY SAY THAT PERMITS WOULD BE DEEMED TO
- 12 BASICALLY BE RECEIVED AS OF A CERTAIN DATE TO MAKE
- 13 A CALENDAR FOR THE NEXT BOARD MEETING, MY
- 14 IMPRESSION OR RECOLLECTION WAS THAT THAT WAS TAKEN
- 15 TO THE LEA'S AND THAT THE LEA'S WEREN'T CRAZY
- 16 ABOUT IT. THAT'S MY OWN CATEGORIZATION -- MY OWN
- 17 CHARACTERIZATION ON THAT.
- 18 MEMBER RELIS: WE NEVER, I DON'T THINK,
- 19 RECEIVED FORMAL FEEDBACK THAT THEY WEREN'T CRAZY
- 20 ABOUT IT OR WHETHER WE WERE -- YOU KNOW, WHAT OUR
- 21 ATTITUDE WAS REGARDING NOT LIKING.
- MS. RICE: THAT ITEM WAS ACTUALLY
- 23 CALENDARED AT ONE POINT FOR A HEARING OF THIS
- 24 COMMITTEE AND THEN, BASED ON COMMENT RECEIVED, WAS
- 25 WITHDRAWN FROM HEARING. SO YOU DID HAVE AN ITEM

- 1 IN PRINT THAT WAS SUBSEQUENTLY WITHDRAWN. AND WE
- 2 HAVE BEEN WORKING COOPERATIVELY WITH LEA'S TO
- 3 DEVELOP A PROCESS THAT REALLY JUST INVOLVES MORE
- 4 COMMUNICATION IN TERMS OF WHAT ARE YOU WORKING ON,
- 5 WHAT IS GOING TO COME IN SO THAT WE CAN TRY TO
- 6 TIMELY CALENDAR THINGS AND ALSO WORK ON ISSUES
- 7 THAT MAY BE UNRESOLVED ISSUES WITH THEM UP FRONT.
- 8 SO I THINK OUR RESOLUTION OF THE ISSUE, AND
- 9 APOLOGIZE IF WE DIDN'T MAKE THAT CLEAR OR GET BACK
- 10 TO YOU, COULD CERTAINLY DO SO MORE FULLY LATER.
- 11 MEMBER RELIS: YOU FEEL IT'S RESOLVED?
- 12 MS. RICE: WELL, WE ARE WORKING ON AN
- 13 ITERATIVE PROCESS OF TRYING BY WORKING TOGETHER
- 14 MAKE SURE THAT THE CALENDAR IS ESTABLISHED IN A
- 15 WAY THAT GIVES US THE TIME WE NEED TO PROVIDE THE
- 16 REVIEW FOR YOUR PURPOSES AND ENABLES THE LEA TO
- 17 HAVE ENOUGH TIME TO DO WHAT THEY NEED TO DO AT
- 18 THEIR END.
- 19 MEMBER RELIS: I WOULD ASK, BECAUSE THIS
- 20 HAS BEEN A SUBJECT THAT HAS CAUSED US SOME GRIEF
- 21 AT TIMES, THAT IT'S IMPORTANT ENOUGH TO AT LEAST
- 22 HEAR WHAT THE INTERACTION WAS AND WHAT STAFF'S
- 23 CONCLUSION IS, IF YOU'VE REACHED A CONCLUSION,

#### AND

- 24 GIVE US THE OPPORTUNITY TO SEE IF WE AGREE WITH
- 25 THAT.

- 1 MS. HAMBLETON: SUZANNE HAMBLETON. I'D
- 2 LIKE TO ADD THAT A LETTER DID GO OUT SUGGESTING
- 3 THE TIME FRAMES THAT WE TALKED ABOUT, AND IT WAS A
- 4 SUGGESTION TO THE LEA'S LETTING THEM KNOW ALL THE
- 5 PIECES THAT WE HAD PUT TOGETHER AND GIVING THEM
- 6 SOME SUGGESTED DATES TO LOOK AT. THAT -- IT WAS A
- 7 SUGGESTION, IT WASN'T SOMETHING THAT WE WERE
- 8 MANDATING, BUT IT WAS JUST SOME GUIDANCE.
- 9 MS. RICE: THAT IS THE COOPERATIVE EFFORT
- 10 I WAS REFERRING TO. WHAT WE DID IS PROVIDE THE
- 11 BOARD AND THE COMMITTEES' ANNUAL CALENDAR AND
- 12 INDICATED WHAT OUR TIME FRAMES ARE IN TERMS OF
- 13 NEEDING TO PREPARE AGENDA ITEMS AND WHAT WOULD

ΒE

14 MOST HELPFUL TO US IN TERMS OF SUBMITTAL DATES

ТО

15 ACCOMMODATE THE BOARD'S HEARING SCHEDULE AND

THAT

16 IF WE COULD PLEASE WORK TOGETHER TO MAKE SURE

THAT

17 THESE TIME LINES WERE MET AND THAT WE WERE ABLE

ТО

18 BRING FORWARD A GOOD PRODUCT IN COOPERATION

WITH

- 19 THE LEA'S. BUT I CAN SEE THAT WE CAN CERTAINLY
- 20 COME BACK AND PROVIDE YOU EITHER IN MY REPORT

NEXT	
21	MONTH OR SOME OTHER FORUM A REPORT ON THAT WORK
22	AND COPIES OF THAT LETTER.
23	MEMBER JONES: YOU KNOW, MR. CHAIRMAN,
ON	
24	ATTACHMENT B, THE FIRST THING SAYS A COMPLETE
AND 25	CORRECT RFI AS CERTIFIED BY THE LEA. IF THAT'S

- 1 WHEN THE CLOCK STARTS, THEN I THINK THAT WHAT'S
- 2 CRITICAL THERE ARE THE DEFINITIONS OF THOSE TWO
- 3 WORDS, COMPLETE AND CORRECT. WOULDN'T CORRECT
- 4 MEET YOUR ADEQUACY TEST?
- 5 MS. TURNER: YES, IT WOULD. ACTUALLY,
- 6 THOUGH, OUR TIME CLOCK STARTS WHEN WE RECEIVE A
- 7 PROPOSED PERMIT IN THE MAIL. IT DOESN'T MATTER

ΙF

- 8 WE HAVE ANYTHING WITH IT ACTUALLY.
- 9 MEMBER JONES: SEE, THAT'S WHAT DOESN'T
- 10 MAKE ANY SENSE. I MEAN IT SAYS HERE THAT YOU
- 11 NEED -- THAT WE NEED TO GET A COMPLETE AND

## CORRECT

12 RFI AS CERTIFIED BY THE LEA. NOW, ARE YOU

#### TELLING

- 13 ME THAT UNDER STATUTE WE CAN'T SAY THAT -- I MEAN
- 14 A PIECE OF PAPER THAT SAYS I'M GOING TO GO FOR A
- 15 PERMIT NEEDS TO INCLUDE ALL THESE THINGS? I MEAN
- 16 I WOULD LIKE THAT EXPLORED BECAUSE THAT'S WHERE

WE

- 17 GET INTO TROUBLE. I MEAN IT'S HAPPENED BEFORE
- 18 WHERE THEY SUBMIT IT AND SAY PUT IT ON THE
- 19 CALENDAR, AND WE GET INFORMATION TWO DAYS BEFORE
- THE BOARD MEETING AND IT'S BUNK.
- MS. RICE: WE'D BE HAPPY TO RETURN WITH

22	MORE	DISCUS	SION C	ON THIS	ITEM,	BUT	IT :	IS SON	METHING
23	THAT	WE'VE	ENTER	ED INTO	DISC	JSSION	1 MI,	тн тні	E BOARD
24	AND (	OUTSIDE	PARTI	ES A N	UMBER	OF TI	MES	AND I	PURSUED
25	REGUI	LATIONS	TO CI	LARIFY.	THE	STATU	JTE :	SEEMS	FAIRLY

- 1 CLEAR THAT THE 60 DAYS STARTS UPON RECEIPT OF THE
- 2 PERMIT APPLICATION BY THE BOARD. WE HAVE ARGUED
- 3 FOR MANY YEARS THAT IT WOULD BE VERY HELPFUL TO
- 4 HAVE A COMPLETENESS REVIEW INITIATE THE START
- 5 RATHER THAN SIMPLY OPENING AN ENVELOPE, BUT OUR
- 6 EFFORTS TO CLARIFY THAT IN REGULATION HAVE BEEN
- 7 UNSUCCESSFUL TO DATE.
- 8 MEMBER RELIS: I THINK WE NEED TO, THEN,
- 9 BECAUSE THIS APPARENTLY HAS GONE IN AND OUT OF
- 10 MEMORY HERE. I'VE LOST TOUCH WITH IT. AND I
- 11 THINK A FULL DISCUSSION OF THIS WOULD BE TIMELY,
- 12 HELPFUL, TELL US WHERE --
- MS. RICE: HAPPY TO DO THAT.
- 14 MEMBER RELIS: -- WHAT WE DID BEFORE AND
- 15 WHERE THAT CONVERSATION TRAILED OFF.
- 16 MS. RICE: THIS MAY BE A JOG OF MEMORY
- 17 FOR YOU, MR. RELIS, HAVING BEEN HERE AT THE TIME.
- 18 IN THE INITIAL COMPOST REGULATIONS, WE ATTEMPTED
- 19 TO CLARIFY THIS ISSUE, AND IT WAS MET WITH GREAT
- 20 CONTROVERSY AND OUTCRY THAT WE NEEDED TO WITHDRAW
- 21 THAT ISSUE.
- 22 MEMBER RELIS: IT MUST BE TOO PAINFUL

FOR

- 23 ME TO REMEMBER THEM.
- 24 MS. RICE: WE WOULD BE HAPPY TO PREPARE
- 25 AN INFORMATION ITEM OR WHATEVER FORUM YOU PREFER

- 1 TO BRING THAT ISSUE FORWARD, GO INTO THE HISTORY
- OF IT, AND OUR UNDERSTANDING, BASED ON LEGAL
- 3 ADVICE OVER MANY YEARS, OF WHERE OUR AUTHORITY
- 4 LIES IN TERMS OF WHEN THE 60 DAYS STARTS AND OUR
- 5 EFFORTS TO CLARIFY THAT AND OUR FAILURE TO DO SO
- 6 TO DATE.
- 7 MEMBER JONES: UNDER OUR EMPOWERMENT
- 8 STANCE, WHICH IS GOOD, MOST EMPOWERMENTS ARE A
- 9 TWO-WAY STREET. MOST EMPOWERMENTS ARE A CONTRACT
- 10 OR A VERBAL UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN TWO ENTITIES OF
- 11 CERTAIN THINGS THAT THEY'RE GOING TO GET MORE
- 12 FREEDOMS BUT WITH CERTAIN CONSIDERATIONS. I THINK
- 13 THAT THERE'S FOUR OR FIVE LEA'S SITTING OUT IN
- 14 THIS AUDIENCE THAT ARE VERY ACTIVE IN THE ROUND
- 15 TABLES, HAVE GOOD REPUTATIONS. I THINK THEY'RE
- 16 HEARING THAT THIS BOARD HAS AN ISSUE HERE WITH A
- 17 SINGLE PIECE OF PAPER THAT SAYS WE'RE GOING FOR A
- 18 PERMIT AND WE DON'T GET THE REST OF THE
- 19 DOCUMENTATION. YOU KNOW, I THINK THERE'S A WAY TO
- 20 DEAL WITH THIS, AND IT WOULD BE A DEFINITION OF
- 21 COMPLETE AND CORRECT AS PART OF STATUTE. I THINK
- 22 LEA'S NEED TO UNDERSTAND.
- 23 I MEAN I'M WILLING TO PUSH THAT
- 24 ENVELOPE. I MEAN I WANT TO EMPOWER THEM, BUT I
- 25 WANT THEM TO GIVE ME SOMETHING BACK, GIVE THIS

- 1 BOARD SOMETHING BACK, SO WE HAVE ALL THE DOCUMENTS
- 2 IN FRONT OF US BECAUSE I REALLY HATE NOT
- 3 CONCURRING WITH PERMITS OF I HAVE TO, YOU KNOW.
- 4 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: BUT THAT IS SOMETHING
- 5 THAT WOULD REQUIRE STATUTE CHANGE.
- 6 MS. RICE: THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING, YES.
- 7 BUT WOULD BE HAPPY TO GO INTO THAT AS AN ISSUE OR
- 8 AN OPTION WHEN WE BRING MATERIAL FORWARD FOR YOU.
- 9 MEMBER RELIS: WERE YOU SHAKING YOUR
- 10 HEAD, NO STATUTE CHANGE?
- 11 MS. TURNER: THERE'S A DEFINITION --
- 12 THERE'S NOTHING IN STATUTE. MS. RICE IS CORRECT
- 13 ON THAT. THERE IS A DEFINITION IN TITLE 27 OF
- 14 COMPLETE AND CORRECT, WHICH IS -- I SUMMARIZED
- 15 THAT AT THE VERY BEGINNING. AND YOU ARE CORRECT.
- 16 IT'S SOMEWHAT VAGUE.
- 17 MEMBER RELIS: WOULDN'T BE THE FIRST TIME
- 18 SOMETHING WAS VAGUE.
- 19 MS. TURNER: AND THE -- IN -- I MENTIONED
- 20 THIS IN THE ITEM, THAT THE FOCUS OF THE COMPLETE-
- 21 NESS OR THE CORRECTNESS REVIEW IS A LOT MORE
- 22 DETAILED. AND WE WOULD BE -- THE EFFORT IN THIS
- 23 ITEM WOULD BE TO GIVE MORE OF THAT TO THE LEA'S
- AND NOT HAVE US DO AS MUCH AS OF THE DETAILED
- 25 ACCURACY REVIEW. BUT WE CAN PROVIDE MORE

- 1 INFORMATION.
- 2 MEMBER RELIS: THIS IS THE DANCE WE'VE
- 3 ALWAYS BEEN THROUGH, THOUGH. THOSE OF US AT THIS
- 4 END, WE HAVE TO MAKE THE DECISION. WE VOTE. AND
- 5 FOR US, YOU KNOW, THAT'S A DIFFERENT -- THE LEA IS
- 6 IN A POSITION TO PASS JUDGMENT ON THE PERMIT
- 7 COMING FORWARD, BUT BOTTOM LINE, THE PERMIT'S
- 8 CONCURRED OR NOT. AND WE HAVE TO MAKE A DECISION
- 9 BASED ON WHAT'S BEFORE US. AND SOMETIMES, AND
- 10 WE'VE EXPRESSED THIS OVER MANY YEARS, THAT WE FEEL
- 11 JAMMED AT TIMES. AND WHEN WE'RE JAMMED, IT'S A
- 12 REAL PROBLEM TO MAKE A SOUND JUDGMENT ON THAT
- 13 BASIS. SO I'M GLAD, MR. JONES, THAT YOU RAISED
- 14 THE INTEREST AGAIN BECAUSE, FRANKLY, I'VE LOST
- 15 TRACK OF WHERE THIS DISCUSSION WENT.
- 16 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: DO WE WANT TO -- WELL,
- 17 LET'S HEAR FROM LEA'S RIGHT NOW. KEN CALVERT, SAN
- 18 DIEGO COUNTY LEA.
- MR. CALVERT: THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN FRAZEE.
- 20 MY NAME IS KEN CALVERT. I REPRESENT SAN DIEGO
- 21 COUNTY LEA.
- 22 I CAME HERE TODAY BECAUSE I WANT TO
- 23 EXPRESS MY SUPPORT FOR THE AGENDA ITEM THAT YOU
- 24 HAVE BEFORE YOU AND THE PROCESS THAT THAT DETAILS.
- I BELIEVE THAT THE FOCUS ON TRAINING IS GOOD. I

- 1 THINK THAT FOR THE STATE TO BEST ACHIEVE ITS GOALS
- 2 OF CONSISTENCY, THAT STATEWIDE CONSISTENT TRAINING
- 3 IS THE BEST AND MOST APPROPRIATE WAY TO DO THAT.
- 4 I THINK IN THE PAST SOMETIMES
- 5 TRAINING HAS BEEN PERIPHERAL AS OPPOSED TO CENTRAL
- 6 TO THE BOARD'S AGENDA. AND ALSO, IN THE -- OVER
- 7 THE PAST YEAR OR TWO, I'VE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO
- 8 WORK WITH BOARD STAFF ON VARIOUS PERMITTING
- 9 ISSUES. AND FROM THE TIME, I GUESS, AS I'VE
- 10 BEEN -- SINCE I'VE BEEN AN LEA, I THINK THAT

#### LEA'S

11 AND BOARD STAFF ARE CLOSER THAN WE'VE EVER BEEN

ON

- 12 CONSENSUS ON A LOT OF THE ISSUES SURROUNDING
- 13 PERMITTING ISSUES.
- AND SO IN THAT SENSE, FOR ME,

## THIS

- 15 IS VERY HOPEFUL. AND I THINK IT'S PART OF THE
- 16 FRUIT OF PROCESS 2000, AND IT ALSO, FOR ME, IS

--

17 EXPRESSES A REAL DESIRE ON BOARD STAFF'S PART

ТО

- 18 MAKE THIS WHOLE PROCESS WORK. SO I'M ENCOURAGED.
- 19 AND I THINK THAT CLEARLY THESE GUIDELINES IN TITLE

- 20 27 PLACE ADDITIONAL RESPONSIBILITY ON LEA'S, AND I
- 21 THINK LEA'S WILL RESPOND TO THAT. I THINK THERE'S
- 22 NOTHING LIKE PULLING OUT A LITTLE BIT OF THE
- 23 SAFETY NET TO MAKE PEOPLE MORE CAREFUL. SO AGAIN,
- 24 I'D LIKE JUST TO EXPRESS MY SUPPORT.
- 25 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, CAN I ASK

- 1 MR. CALVERT A QUESTION? I WON'T PUT YOU ON THE
- 2 SPOT AND ASK YOU FOR AN ANSWER. BUT IN HEARING
- 3 SOME OF THE DISCUSSIONS WE HAD ABOUT COMPLETENESS
- 4 OF THE PACKAGE SO THAT WE'RE ABLE TO, DOES THAT
- 5 SEEM REASONABLE OR DOES IT -- YOU KNOW, DOES IT --
- 6 MR. CALVERT: ABSOLUTELY.
- 7 MEMBER JONES: WHEN YOU BASE YOUR
- 8 DECISIONS, YOU DON'T ACCEPT A PERMIT APPLICATION,
- 9 I'M SURE, FROM AN OPERATOR, WHETHER IT'S PUBLIC OR
- 10 PRIVATE, WITHOUT IT BEING IN SOME FORM OF
- 11 COMPLETENESS.
- MR. CALVERT: CORRECT.
- 13 MEMBER JONES: DO YOU THINK WE SHOULD BE
- 14 AFFORDED THAT SAME LUXURY?
- 15 MR. CALVERT: I THINK YOU SHOULD INSIST
- 16 ON THAT KIND OF PERFORMANCE FROM THE LEA'S. IT'S
- OUR RESPONSIBILITY AND OUR JOB TO MAKE SURE THE
- 18 PACKAGE IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE. AND IT'S OUR
- 19 PERMIT. WE'RE NOT UP HERE ABLE AND WILLING TO
- 20 SUPPORT THAT PERMIT, THEN I THINK THAT OUR
- 21 PERFORMANCE SHOULD BE QUESTIONED.
- 22 MEMBER JONES: GREAT. THANKS, MR.
- 23 CALVERT. THANKS, MR. CHAIRMAN,
- 24 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. THANK YOU.

BILL

25 O'RULLIAN, KERN COUNTY LEA.

- 1 MR. O'RULLIAN: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.
- 2 I WOULD LIKE TO ANSWER THAT QUESTION OF MR. JONES
- 3 ON BEHALF OF KERN COUNTY. FIRST OF ALL, I'D LIKE
- 4 TO SAY THAT SOME OF THE JAMMING EFFECT THAT TAKES
- 5 PLACE, THAT WAS MENTIONED BY MR. RELIS, I THINK,
- 6 IS -- SHOULD BE SHARED ALSO BY THE STAFF OF THE
- 7 BOARD. WHAT I MEAN BY THIS IS THAT OFTEN WE WILL
- 8 SEND UP A PACKAGE AND THEN IT GETS DISSEMINATED TO
- 9 VARIOUS BRANCHES OF YOUR STAFF FOR REVIEW. AND
- 10 INVARIABLY, WHEN THERE IS A PROBLEM, IT COMES
- 11 BEFORE -- A WEEK BEFORE OR A DAY OR TWO OR THREE
- 12 DAYS BEFORE THE AGENDA ITEM IS DUE.
- 13 AND I THINK THAT THIS IS A PROCESS
- 14 PROBLEM. IT'S NOT AN -- IT'S NOT RELATED TO ANY
- 15 PARTICULAR INDIVIDUALS, BUT IT HAPPENS BECAUSE OF
- 16 THE LENGTH OF TIME IT TAKES FOR VARIOUS SECTIONS
- 17 TO REVIEW DIFFERENT THINGS. WE'VE NOTICED, FOR
- 18 EXAMPLE, IN THE LAST FEW PERMITS THAT WE'VE SENT
- 19 UP HERE, THAT IT TAKES 8 TO 11 DAYS FROM THE TIME
- 20 WE SENT THE PERMIT TO ACTUALLY HAVE THE PERMIT
- 21 RECEIVED BY THE BOARD.
- 22 AND WHAT -- AND WHAT WE'RE GAUGING
- 23 THIS BY IS THE DOCUMENTATION THAT COMES BACK TO US
- ON SUCH-AND-SUCH A DATE A PERMIT WAS RECEIVED, AND
- 25 WE GET THOSE LETTERS FAITHFULLY FROM YOUR STAFF.

- 1 AND SO I THINK THAT THERE ARE SOME AREAS THAT NEED
- TO BE LOOKED AT. AS FAR AS COMPLETENESS,
- 3 CERTAINLY THE STAFF OF THE BOARD AND YOUR BOARD
- 4 HAVE BEEN PATIENT WITH KERN COUNTY ON SEVERAL
- 5 OCCASIONS WITH PERMITS WHERE WE'VE HAD TO COME
- 6 BACK TO THE DRAWING BOARD AND GET CERTAIN ITEMS
- 7 IN.
- 8 BUT I'D LIKE TO NOTE THAT -- THAT
- 9 WHAT IS COMPLETE IN THE EYES OF ONE PERSON IS
- 10 MAYBE NOT COMPLETE IN THE EYES OF ANOTHER. WHAT I
- 11 MEAN BY THIS IS THAT YOU HAVE A STAFF OF VARIED
- 12 PROFESSIONAL CAPABILITIES, PEOPLE FROM -- THAT
- 13 HAVE SERVED WATER BOARDS AND PROFESSIONAL
- 14 ENGINEERS AND SO FORTH, AND EACH OF THEM HAVE A
- 15 PENCHANT THAT THEY LIKE TO EMPHASIZE.
- 16 WHEN I BECAME INVOLVED WITH THE
- 17 SOLID WASTE PROGRAM, I HAD COME FROM THE WATER
- 18 PROGRAM AND YOU CAN GUESS THE FIRST THING I WANTED
- 19 TO SEE ON EVERY LANDFILL WAS A CROSS-CONNECTION
- 20 CONTROL DEVICE AT THE SERVICE CONNECTION, AND
- 21 CERTAINLY THAT WAS MANDATED BY TITLE 17. AND SO
- 22 WHEN YOU HAVE THAT KIND OF INPUT, WHAT WE HAVE
- 23 SEEN ON DELAYS SOMETIMES ON THIS COMPLETENESS
- 24 ISSUE ON THE RDSI IS MORE EVERYONE WANTS MORE
- 25 CLARIFICATION OR MORE INFORMATION. AND IT MAY BE

- 1 BETTER MAPS OR IT MAY BE BETTER GRADING AND
- 2 DRAINAGE PLANS. WHATEVER IT IS, THESE THINGS ARE
- 3 SOMETIMES WHAT WE VIEW AS SUBJECTIVE. AND I THINK
- 4 THAT IF THE LEA'S WERE GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY --
- 5 STAFF PROBABLY HAS LOTS OF CAMPFIRE HORROR STORIES
- 6 ABOUT LEA'S, BUT LEA'S ALSO HAVE CAMPFIRE HORROR
- 7 STORIES ABOUT STAFF ON GETTING PERMITS THROUGH THE
- 8 SYSTEM.
- 9 AND WE SUPPORT THIS ITEM, AS DID SAN
- 10 DIEGO COUNTY. WE DO AGREE THAT THE STAFF HAVE,
- 11 ESPECIALLY THROUGH THE TRAINING PROGRAMS, HAVE
- 12 ENDEAVORED TO HAVE US ALL SINGING FROM THE SAME
- 13 SHEET OF MUSIC, BUT THERE STILL REMAINS THINGS
- 14 THAT ARE INTRINSIC TO THE PROCESS THAT CREATE
- 15 DELAYS, AND I FEEL THAT THE LEA SHOULD NOT BEAR
- 16 THAT BURDEN ENTIRELY ON THEIR OWN. THANK YOU.
- 17 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: THANK YOU.
- 18 MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR, I FOUND THAT
  - -
- 19 THOSE COMMENTS HELPFUL. MAYBE BY HAVING THIS
- 20 DISCUSSION WE GET BOTH THE CAMPFIRES TOGETHER.
- 21 AND THEN JUST -- YOU SAID IT TAKES -- I DON'T

### WANT

- 22 TO HAVE AN -- I DON'T WANT TO OPEN THIS UP TO
- 23 DISCUSSION SO MUCH -- 8 TO 11 DAYS FROM THE

# TIME

- 24 YOU SEND SOMETHING OFF TO WHEN YOU GET
- 25 SOMETHING --

- 1 MR. O'RULLIAN: WE'LL SEND IT OFF ON A
- 2 DATE, AND THEN THE LETTER THAT WE RECEIVE BACK
- 3 THAT SAYS A PERMIT HAS BEEN RECEIVED ON
- 4 SUCH-AND-SUCH A DATE, WE'VE CHECKED THAT DATE TO
- 5 THE DAY WE SENT IT AND THE DAY THAT IT WAS
- 6 ACTUALLY LOGGED IN AND RECEIVED BY THE LOG, IT
- 7 TAKES 8 TO 11 DAYS, AND WE HAVE THAT DOCUMENTED ON
- 8 THE LAST THREE PERMITS.
- 9 MEMBER RELIS: INTERESTING. NO COMMENT.
- 10 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. I BELIEVE THAT'S
- 11 ALL OF THE SPEAKERS WE HAVE ON THIS ITEM.
- 12 MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR, IT DOESN'T SEEM
- 13 LIKE WE NEED AN ACTION, BUT I WOULD SUGGEST THAT
- 14 WE CALENDAR A DISCUSSION OF THE CLOCK AND THE
- 15 RELATED CONVERSATION WE HAD SO THAT WE COULD HEAR
- 16 THE FRUITS OF THE STAFF/LEA INTERACTION, AND THEN
- 17 LEAVE IT OPEN AS TO WHAT WE DO WITH THAT.
- 18 MR. CHANDLER: LET ME OFFER A COUPLE OF
- 19 OBSERVATIONS. I THINK YOU'VE HAD A VERY GOOD
- 20 DISCUSSION OF THE NEW DIRECTION OR THE PROGRESS
- 21 WE'RE MAKING. AND I WOULD SUGGEST THAT EVEN
- 22 EITHER IN MY REPORT, MR. FRAZEE'S REPORT, OR THAT
- 23 WE BRING THIS ITEM TO THE FULL BOARD. I THINK THE
- 24 FULL BOARD NEEDS TO HEAR KIND OF A LITTLE BIT OF
- 25 THE TENOR OF THIS DISCUSSION, THAT WE'RE LOOKING

- 1 TO EMPOWER THE LEA'S MORE, WE WANT TO OBVIOUSLY
- 2 SEE COMPLETE PERMITS, WE'RE STARTING TO NOW
- 3 REVISIT THIS ISSUE OF THE CLOCK PERHAPS, BUT I
- 4 WOULD HATE JUST TO SEE THIS ITEM JUST BE LEFT HERE
- 5 FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES BECAUSE YOU'VE REALLY
- 6 DISCUSSED KIND OF THIS NEXT -- SOMEONE SAID I WANT
- 7 TO PUSH THE ENVELOPE A LITTLE BIT.
- 8 SO I THINK THAT WE CAN TALK, MR.
- 9 FRAZEE, IF YOU WANT TO JUST CAPTURE THE ESSENCE OF
- 10 THIS DISCUSSION IN YOUR COMMITTEE REPORT OR YOU
- 11 WANT ME TO TRY TO MENTION IT, BUT I WOULD PERHAPS
- 12 MAKE THE VOTE THAT YOU OUGHT TO CONSIDER HAVING
- 13 THIS INFORMATIONAL ITEM CARRIED FORWARD TO THE
- 14 BOARD JUST SO THAT THE OTHER THREE MEMBERS THAT
- 15 AREN'T HERE CAN HEAR BRIEFLY FROM STAFF AND MAYBE
- 16 WE CAN TALK THAT WE'RE GOING TO CONTINUE TO BE
- 17 LOOKING AT THIS COMPLETENESS DEFINITION AND ISSUES
- 18 LIKE THAT.
- 19 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: THE -- IT WOULD NEED TO
- 20 BE CALENDARED, THOUGH, IN ORDER TO DO IT AT THIS
- 21 NEXT BOARD MEETING.
- 22 MR. CHANDLER: I'M ASSUMING IT'S ON THE
- 23 BOARD AGENDA, AND IT WOULD JUST A BE A MATTER OF
- 24 WHETHER THIS GOES CONSENT OR BE PULLED. AM I
- 25 MISTAKEN?

- 1 MS. RICE: WE'LL DOUBLE-CHECK, BUT
- ORDINARILY IT WOULD HAVE BEEN FORWARDED TO THE
- 3 BOARD MEETING.
- 4 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: SO WE CAN FORWARD IT TO
- 5 THE BOARD MEETING AND PERHAPS HAVE A LITTLE
- 6 FURTHER DISCUSSION OF IT.
- 7 MR. CHANDLER: THAT'S MY RECOMMENDATION,
- 8 YES.
- 9 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD WE
- 10 INCLUDE AS PART OF THE INFORMATIONAL ITEM THE
- 11 ISSUES THAT THE COMMITTEE BROUGHT UP AND THE
- 12 ISSUES THAT THE LEA BROUGHT UP AS PART OF THAT?
- 13 AND THEN I THINK WE HAD COME UP WITH SOME
- 14 DIRECTION AS TO SOME FUTURE TALKS AT THE ROUND
- 15 TABLES AND CLARIFYING A COUPLE OF THINGS THAT, YOU
- 16 KNOW, SO WE'RE NOT GOING OVER OLD GROUND. IN THE
- 17 BOARD MEETING WE NEED TO, I THINK, SAY COMMITTEE
- 18 BROUGHT THESE UP, LEA'S BROUGHT THIS UP.
- 19 MEMBER RELIS: AS I READ IT, WE WOULD BE
- 20 SHARING OUR DISCUSSION HERE TODAY. SO IT'S AN --
- 21 IT REMAINS AN INFORMATION ITEM; BUT IF WE WERE --
- 22 IF THE COMMITTEE'S SUGGESTION WAS THAT A FORMAL
- 23 DISCUSSION BE CALENDARED, THAT WOULD PROBABLY
- OCCUR FIRST IN COMMITTEE, P&E COMMITTEE, I WOULD
- 25 THINK. SO THAT STILL COULD BE INFORMATIONAL,

- 1 ISN'T IT?
- 2 MS. RICE: IT WOULD DEPEND ON WHEN WE'RE
- 3 LOOKING AT CRAFTING THE ITEM WHETHER WE COME UP
- 4 WITH SOME REASONABLE OPTIONS FOR CONSIDERATION.
- 5 IT OFTEN BROADENS YOUR OPTIONS OR IT DEFINITELY
- 6 BROADENS YOUR OPTIONS TO LIST THESE THINGS FOR
- 7 CONSIDERATION IF YOU'RE CONTEMPLATING TAKING
- 8 ACTION. I CAN CERTAINLY WORK WITH STAFF AND MR.
- 9 FRAZEE'S OFFICE TO CALENDAR A DISCUSSION ON THAT
- 10 FOCUSED ISSUE OF THE CLOCK AND THE COMPLETENESS
- 11 FOR SEPTEMBER OR OCTOBER OR WHATEVER SEEMS
- 12 APPROPRIATE.
- BUT I THINK MR. CHANDLER WAS JUST
- 14 SUGGESTING A REPEAT OF THIS INFORMATIONAL ITEM AT
- 15 THE BOARD MEETING. WE WILL NEED TO DOUBLE-CHECK
- 16 ON THE BOARD AGENDA. IT IS NOT CLEAR WHETHER THIS
- 17 WOULD HAVE BEEN FORWARDED AS AN INFORMATIONAL
- 18 ITEM. VERY OFTEN INFORMATIONAL ITEMS WHERE
- 19 THERE'S NO ACTION ARE NOT CALENDARED FOR FULL
- 20 BOARD DISCUSSION, BUT WE CAN CHECK THAT.
- 21 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: IF IT IS NOT, THEN WE
- 22 CAN DO IT WITHIN COMMITTEE REPORT OR MR.
- 23 CHANDLER'S REPORT.
- MS. TOBIAS: YOU COULD AND ALSO, BECAUSE
- THE COMMITTEE MEETING IS SO EARLY THIS MONTH AND

- 1 THE MEETING IS SO LATE, WE HAVE PLENTY OF TIME TO
- 2 ADD THIS TO THE AGENDA.
- 3 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: THAT WILL SOLVE THAT
- 4 PROBLEM. OKAY. GOOD.
- NOW, IT'S FOUR MINUTES TO TWELVE.
- 6 IS THIS A GOOD TIME TO TAKE A LUNCH BREAK?
- 7 MEMBER RELIS: UNLESS THERE'S AN ITEM WE
- 8 CAN DEAL WITH IN TWO MINUTES OR FOUR MINUTES.
- 9 MS. RICE: ACTUALLY IT SHOULD GO RATHER
- 10 SWIFTLY.
- 11 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: LET'S DO THAT AND
- 12 ACCOMMODATE YOUR TRAVEL. SO LET'S TAKE ITEM 4,
- 13 WHICH IS THE CONSIDERATION OF A REVISED SOLID
- 14 WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE TAFT SANITARY
- 15 LANDFILL.
- 16 MS. RICE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN AND
- 17 MEMBERS. TERRY SMITH WILL MAKE THE STAFF
- PRESENTATION ASSISTED BY WILLIAM O'RULLIAN WITH
- 19 THE LOCAL ENFORCEMENT AGENCY.
- 20 MR. SMITH: MR. CHAIRMAN, COMMITTEE
- 21 MEMBERS. ITEM 4 REGARDS CONSIDERATION OF A
- 22 REVISED SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE

# TAFT

- 23 SANITARY LANDFILL LOCATED IN KERN COUNTY.
- 24 CONCURRENCE WITH THIS PERMIT REVISION WILL

UPDATE
25 THE EXISTING 1979 PERMIT AND ALLOW THE FOLLOWING

- 1 CHANGES: AN INCREASE IN MAXIMUM DAILY TONNAGE
- 2 FROM 53 TO 419, MODIFICATION OF WASTE DISPOSAL
- 3 METHODS, INCLUDING THE USE OF ALTERNATIVE DAILY
- 4 COVER, ESTABLISHMENT OF AN ESTIMATED CLOSURE DATE
- 5 OF THE YEAR 2040, THE ADDITION OF A GATEHOUSE,
- 6 LOADCHECKING PROGRAM, AN OIL AND ANTIFREEZE
- 7 RECYCLING PROGRAM, CHANGE IN OPERATING HOURS, AND
- 8 AN INCREASE IN TOTAL CAPACITY FROM 1.9 MILLION TO
- 9 8.8 MILLION CUBIC YARDS.
- 10 THIS ITEM, AS INCLUDED IN THE
- 11 COMMITTEE AGENDA PACKET, DOES NOT CONTAIN STAFF
- 12 RECOMMENDATION BECAUSE AT THE TIME THE ITEM WAS
- 13 PREPARED, STAFF HAD NOT YET DETERMINED WHETHER THE
- 14 PERMIT WAS CONSISTENT WITH PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE
- 15 50000. A REVISED PROPOSED PERMIT AND RESOLUTION
- 16 FOR THIS ITEM SHOULD HAVE BEEN PASSED OUT EARLIER
- 17 AND HOPEFULLY YOU GUYS HAVE THEM.
- 18 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: WE DO.
- 19 MR. SMITH: OKAY. GOOD. ON THE FIRST
- 20 PAGE OF THE PROPOSED REVISED PERMIT, THERE'S SOME
- 21 MINOR CHANGES. WE PUT 186 TONS PER DAY

#### AVERAGE TO

- 22 BE CALCULATED MONTHLY ON THERE. THESE ARE THE
- ONLY CHANGES. AND THEN ON THE PAGE 2, UNDER

## THE

24 FINDINGS SECTION, THE CORRECT PAGES ARE

# REFERENCED

25 IN THE COSWMP NOW.

- 1 AFTER COMPLETING A REVIEW OF THE
- 2 PROPOSED PROJECT, THE LEA AND BOARD STAFF HAVE
- 3 DETERMINED THAT ALL THE STATE AND LOCAL
- 4 REOUIREMENTS FOR THE PROPOSED PERMIT HAVE BEEN
- 5 MET. THE FACILITY IS IN CONFORMANCE WITH KERN
- 6 COUNTY'S SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN, THE FACILITY
- 7 IS CONSISTENT WITH KERN COUNTY'S GENERAL PLAN, THE
- 8 PROJECT'S DESIGN AND OPERATION ARE IN COMPLIANCE
- 9 WITH STATE MINIMUM STANDARDS, AND THE CALIFORNIA
- 10 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT REQUIREMENTS HAVE BEEN
- 11 SATISFIED.
- 12 STAFF HAVE ANALYZED THE PROPOSED
- 13 PERMIT AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION AND FOUND THEM
- 14 TO BE ACCEPTABLE.
- 15 IN CONCLUSION, STAFF RECOMMEND THAT
- 16 THE BOARD ADOPT PERMIT DECISION NO. 97-350,
- 17 CONCURRING WITH THE ISSUANCE OF SOLID WASTE
- FACILITY PERMIT NO. 15-AA-0061. THE OPERATOR,
- 19 KERN COUNTY WASTE MANAGEMENT, REPRESENTATIVE, MS.
- 20 NANCY EWERT IS PRESENT, AND MR. BILL O'RULLIAN IS
- 21 HERE REPRESENTING THE LEA IF YOU HAVE ANY
- 22 QUESTIONS. AND THIS CONCLUDES STAFF PRESENTATION.
- 23 MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR, I JUST WANTED
- 24 TO ASK. WOULD THIS LANDFILL BE CHARACTERIZED AS A
- 25 VERTICAL EXPANSION OVER UNLINED?

- 1 MR. SMITH: WELL, NOT REALLY. I MEAN THE
- 2 REASON THE CAPACITY IS INCREASING, I KNOW THAT'S
- 3 WHAT YOU'RE LOOKING AT, IS BECAUSE BEFORE IT WAS
- 4 CUT AND COVER, I BELIEVE, AND NOW THERE'S LAND
- 5 SPREADING. AND SO THEY WILL BE GOING UP HIGHER.
- 6 MEMBER RELIS: COULD WE ASK THE LEA.
- 7 MR. SMITH: IT'S NOT A LATERAL EXPANSION
- 8 AND IT'S EXPANDING, SO I GUESS SO.
- 9 MEMBER RELIS: SO THE FACT IT'S NOT
- 10 LATERAL, THERE WILL BE NO LINING OF THIS SITE?
- 11 MR. SMITH: RIGHT. THERE IS A NEW BARROW
- 12 AREA WHERE THEY'RE GETTING THE COVER MATERIAL NOW.
- AND WHEN THEY GO INTO THAT SECTION, THAT WILL BE
- 14 LINED. WHEREVER THEY HAVEN'T HAD WASTE BEFORE
- 15 WILL BE LINED.
- 16 MEMBER RELIS: OKAY.
- 17 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'LL MAKE A
- MOTION THAT WE ACCEPT PERMIT NUMBER 97-350.
- 19 MEMBER RELIS: I'M GOING TO ABSTAIN.
- 20 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: I'LL SECOND. DO YOU
- 21 HAVE ANY COMMENTS, MR. O'RULLIAN? THE OPERATOR?
- MR. O'RULLIAN: DID YOU WANT TO SPEAK,
- 23 NANCY?
- MS. EWERT: NO. NO. I CAN ANSWER
- 25 ANYTHING.

- 1 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: YOU HAVE NOTHING. WE
- 2 HAVE A MOTION BY MR. JONES AND SECONDED BY THE
- 3 CHAIRMAN. WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL,
- 4 PLEASE.
- 5 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER RELIS.
- 6 MEMBER RELIS: ABSTAIN.
- 7 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER JONES.
- 8 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 9 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN FRAZEE.
- 10 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: AYE. MOTION IS
- 11 CARRIED. THIS WILL APPEAR ON THE REGULAR CALENDAR
- OF THE BOARD, NOT ON CONSENT.
- WE'VE HAD A REQUEST TO TAKE ITEM 3
- 14 ALSO FOR TRAVEL CONSIDERATION, SO LET'S DO THAT.
- 15 THIS IS THE CONSIDERATION OF A NEW SOLID WASTE
- 16 FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE CAL-MRT/MRF TRANSFER
- 17 STATION IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY.
- MS. RICE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.
- 19 VIRGINIA ROSALES WILL MAKE THE STAFF PRESENTATION
- 20 ASSISTED BY KIM YAPP WITH THE LOCAL ENFORCEMENT
- 21 AGENCY.
- MS. ROSALES: GOOD AFTERNOON. ITEM
- NO. 3
- 23 IS A NEW PERMIT FOR CAL-MRT/MRF TRANSFER STATION
- 24 LOCATED IN THE CITY OF DOWNEY. THE

# OWNER/OPERATOR

25 IS CAL SAN. CAL SAN PROPOSES TO CONSTRUCT AND

- 1 OPERATE A TRANSFER STATION ACCEPTING UP TO 1500
- 2 TONS PER DAY OF NONHAZARDOUS MSW. THE FACILITY
- 3 WOULD OPERATE MONDAY THROUGH SUNDAY, 24 HOURS A
- 4 DAY, FOR THE RECEIPT, HANDLING, AND PROCESSING OF
- 5 THE WASTE, AND BE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC 6 A.M. TO 9
- 6 P.M.
- 7 SINCE THE ITEM WAS PREPARED, STAFF
- 8 HAVE COMPLETED OUR REVIEW OF THE PERMIT
- 9 APPLICATION PACKAGE AND FOUND IT TO BE ACCEPTABLE,
- 10 INCLUDING THE COSWMP AND GENERAL PLAN CONFORMANCE.
- 11 THE CITY OF DOWNEY PREPARED AN EIR
- 12 FOR THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND CERTIFIED THE EIR ON
- 13 JANUARY OF '96. STAFF FINDS THE ENVIRONMENTAL
- 14 DOCUMENTATION APPROPRIATE FOR THE BOARD'S
- 15 CONSIDERATION. THEREFORE, STAFF RECOMMENDS THE
- 16 BOARD ADOPT PERMIT DECISION 97-349, WHICH YOU
- 17 SHOULD HAVE BEFORE YOU NOW, AND CONCUR IN THE
- 18 ISSUANCE OF SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT NO.
- 19 19-AA-0801.
- 20 THIS CONCLUDES STAFF'S PRESENTATION.
- 21 I BELIEVE THERE IS A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE
- OPERATOR PRESENT IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS.
- 23 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: YES. JEFF DUHAMEL.
- MR. DUHAMEL: NOT NECESSARY.
- 25 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: NOT NECESSARY. OKAY.

- 1 QUESTIONS?
- BOARD MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'D
- 3 LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION THAT WE ACCEPT PERMIT
- 4 DECISION NO. 97-349 FOR THE CAL SAN MRF.
- 5 MEMBER RELIS: SECOND.
- 6 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: WE HAVE A HAVE A MOTION
- 7 AND SECOND ON THE APPROVAL OF THE PERMIT FOR CAL
- 8 SAN INCORPORATED IN THE CITY OF DOWNEY. SECRETARY
- 9 WILL CALL THE ROLL ON THAT, PLEASE.
- 10 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER RELIS.
- 11 MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
- 12 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER JONES.
- 13 MEMBER JONES: HERE.
- 14 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN FRAZEE.
- 15 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: AYE. MOTION IS
- 16 CARRIED. IF THERE'S NO OBJECTION, WE'LL RECOMMEND
- 17 CONSENT ON THIS ITEM. AND THANK YOU.
- 18 NOW LET'S TAKE A LUNCH BREAK. 1:30.
- 19 WE'LL STAND IN RECESS UNTIL 1:30.
- 20 (RECESS TAKEN.)
- 21 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: MEETING WILL COME TO
- ORDER AGAIN, PLEASE. WE ARE NOW READY TO PROCEED
- 23 WITH ITEM 2, WHICH WE OVERLOOKED, CONSIDERATION OF
- 24 A NEW SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE WILLITS
- 25 SOLID WASTE TRANSFER AND RECYCLING CENTER IN

- 1 MENDOCINO COUNTY.
- 2 MS. RICE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN AND
- 3 MEMBERS. RUSS KANZ WILL MAKE THE PRESENTATION FOR
- 4 STAFF. THE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE LEA WAS UNABLE
- 5 TO ATTEND TODAY, AND SO RUSS WILL DO THE
- 6 PRESENTATION.
- 7 MR. KANZ: ALL ALONE. GOOD AFTERNOON.
- 8 SOLID WASTE OF THE WILLITS IS PROPOSING TO BUILD
- 9 AND OPERATE A LARGE VOLUME TRANSFER STATION AND
- 10 RECYCLING CENTER IN THE CITY OF WILLITS. THE
- 11 FACILITY WILL ACCEPT SELF-HAULED WASTE THAT IS
- 12 CURRENTLY BEING DISPOSED AT THE WILLITS LANDFILL,
- 13 WHICH IS SCHEDULED TO CLOSE THIS YEAR.
- 14 RECYCLABLES FROM THE CITY AND COUNTY CURBSIDE
- 15 COLLECTION PROGRAMS WILL ALSO BE ACCEPTED.
- 16 THE FACILITY WILL RECEIVE 195 CUBIC
- 17 YARDS OF WASTE BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 9 A.M. AND 5
- 18 P.M. TUESDAY THROUGH SATURDAY. THE FACILITY AS
- 19 DESIGNED WILL HAVE SEPARATE AREAS FOR DISPOSING OF
- 20 MUNICIPAL WASTE, GREEN WASTE, WOODWASTE, METALS
- 21 AND WHITE GOODS, TIRES, RECYCLABLES, AND
- 22 CALIFORNIA REDEMPTION VALUE CONTAINERS. WASTE
- 23 FROM THE FACILITY WILL BE SHIPPED TO THE UKIAH
- 24 LANDFILL FOR DISPOSAL.
- 25 WHEN THE AGENDA ITEM WENT TO PRINT,

- 1 CONFORMANCE WITH THE COUNTY SOLID WASTE SOLID
- 2 WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN HAD NOT BEEN DETERMINED.
- 3 THE LEA HAS SINCE CERTIFIED THE SITE DESCRIP-
- 4 TION -- EXCUSE ME -- THE SITE IDENTIFICATION AND
- 5 DESCRIPTION OF THE FACILITY WERE SUBMITTED TO THE
- 6 TASK FORCE IN CONFORMANCE WITH PUBLIC RESOURCES
- 7 CODE SECTION 50000(A)(4). AN AMENDED COPY OF THE
- 8 PERMIT WITH THE 15-PERCENT RECOVERY RESTRICTION,
- 9 AS REQUIRED BY THIS SECTION, WAS SUBMITTED TO YOU
- 10 THIS MORNING. IT HAS ALSO BEEN DETERMINED THAT
- 11 THE FACILITY CONFORMS WITH THE GENERAL PLAN.
- 12 AFTER THE PROPOSED PERMIT WAS
- 13 SUBMITTED, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THE NEGATIVE
- 14 DECLARATION FOR THIS PROJECT HAD NOT BEEN
- 15 CIRCULATED THROUGH THE STATE CLEARINGHOUSE. THE
- 16 NEGATIVE DECLARATION WAS SUBMITTED TO THE

## CLEARING

- 17 HOUSE ON JULY 21ST. BOARD STAFF PROVIDED
- COMMENTS
- 18 ON THE PROJECT ON AUGUST 5TH. THE COMMENT
- PERIOD
- 19 WILL END ON AUGUST 20TH.
- 20 BOARD STAFF REVIEWED THE NEGATIVE
- 21 DECLARATION AND FOUND IT ADEQUATE FOR THE

## BOARD'S

USE. IF THERE ARE NO SUBSTANTIVE COMMENTS

- 23 SUBMITTED TO THE LEAD AGENCY AT THE END OF THE
- 24 COMMENT PERIOD ON AUGUST 20TH, THE STAFF

# RECOMMEND

25 THAT THE BOARD CONCUR IN THE ISSUANCE OF SOLID

- 1 WASTE FACILITIES PERMIT NO. 23-AA-0038. SOLID
- 2 WASTE FACILITIES PERMIT DECISION NO. 97-348 WILL
- 3 BE PREPARED AT THE END OF THE COMMENT PERIOD.
- 4 MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR, IS THE
- 5 RECOMMENDATION, THEN, TO CONCUR IN COMMITTEE OR
- 6 UNLESS --
- 7 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: FORWARD WITHOUT
- 8 RECOMMENDATION.
- 9 MR. KANZ: WELL, WE'RE RECOMMENDING
- 10 CONCURRENCE ON THIS. IF THERE WERE COMMENTS,
- 11 SUBSTANTIVE COMMENTS, RECEIVED DURING THE COMMENT
- 12 PERIOD, THEN IT WOULD BE BROUGHT TO THE BOARD. IT
- 13 WOULD BE SCHEDULED FOR THE BOARD MEETING, BUT IT
- 14 COULD BE PLACED ON THE CONSENT AND PULLED OFF OF
- 15 THAT IF THERE WAS A PROBLEM.
- MS. RICE: YOU COULD DO IT EITHER WAY,
- 17 YOUR PREFERENCE, EITHER FORWARD IT WITHOUT
- 18 RECOMMENDATION PENDING CLOSURE OF THE COMMENT
- 19 PERIOD OR FORWARD IT WITH A RECOMMENDATION THAT
- 20 COULD BE REVISITED IF ANY ISSUES DO ARISE IN THE
- 21 COMMENT PERIOD. WE'RE NOT ANTICIPATING ANY.
- 22 THAT'S WHY RUSS RECOMMENDED IT THAT WAY, RECOMMEND
- 23 CONCURRENCE; AND IF ANY ISSUES ARISE, THEY'D BE
- 24 DESCRIBED TO YOU AT THE BOARD MEETING.
- 25 MR. KANZ: THIS IS THE SECOND NEG DEC

- 1 THAT WAS CIRCULATED. THERE'S ALREADY BEEN A CUP
- 2 ISSUED AND EVERYTHING, SO WE ASSUME THERE WILL NOT
- 3 BE ANY NEW COMMENTS.
- 4 MEMBER JONES: THE NEG DEC WAS
- 5 DISTRIBUTED LOCALLY. IT JUST DIDN'T GO TO STATE
- 6 CLEARINGHOUSE, RIGHT?
- 7 MR. KANZ: RIGHT. THEY DIDN'T GO THROUGH
- 8 THE CLEARINGHOUSE.
- 9 MEMBER JONES: SO IT WAS DONE LOCALLY AND
- 10 THERE WEREN'T ANY ISSUES?
- 11 MR. KANZ: CUP WAS ISSUED.
- 12 MEMBER JONES: CUP WAS ISSUED.
- MR. KANZ: RIGHT.
- 14 MEMBER JONES: I MOVE -- I DON'T HAVE A
- 15 RESOLUTION NUMBER. THAT WILL COME LATER. SO --
- MR. KANZ: WE WILL HAVE TO DO THE
- 17 RESOLUTION AT THE END OF THE COMMENT PERIOD.
- 18 MEMBER JONES: SO YOU CAN FILL IN THE
- 19 BLANK HERE?
- MR. KANZ: RIGHT.
- 21 MEMBER JONES: I PROPOSE CONCURRENCE

WITH

- 22 PERMIT NUMBER "PSST."
- 23 MR. KANZ: IT'S 97-348.
- 24 MEMBER RELIS: I'LL SECOND IT,

ASSUMING

25 THAT THE PROVISO IS IF ANY -- IF AN ISSUE ARISES,

- 1 THEN THIS WOULD COME BACK TO THE BOARD.
- 2 MEMBER JONES: PULLED OFF CONSENT OR
- 3 WHATEVER.
- 4 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. WE HAVE A

#### MOTION

5 AND SECOND, THEN, TO CONCUR IN THE ISSUANCE

#### OF A

6 NEW SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE

#### WILLITS

7 SOLID WASTE TRANSFER AND RECYCLING CENTER

#### WITH THE

8 PROVISO THAT THE CEQA DOCUMENT MUST BE

# COMPLETED

- 9 PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE PERMIT. IS THAT
- Α
- 10 FAIR STATEMENT?
- MR. KANZ: ISSUED AND NO SUBSTANTIVE
- 12 COMMENTS RECEIVED BY THE LEAD AGENCY.
- 13 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: SECRETARY CALL THE

#### ROLL

- 14 ON THAT.
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER RELIS.
- 16 MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
- 17 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER JONES.
- 18 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 19 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN FRAZEE.

20	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE. MOTION
IS	
21	CARRIED WITH THE SUGGESTION THAT THIS COULD
GO ON	
22	THE CONSENT AND THEN BE PULLED IF THERE IS AN
23	ISSUE, SO WE'LL RECOMMEND IT FOR THE CONSENT.
24	NOW WE CAN GO TO ITEM 8, AND
THIS I	S
25	THE CONSIDERATION OF THE ADOPTION OF A
NEGATIVE	

- 1 DECLARATION AND THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS FOR
- 2 STORAGE AND CHIPPING AND GRINDING ACTIVITIES.
- 3 STAFF REPORT ON THIS ONE.
- 4 MS. RICE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.
- 5 BRIAN LARIMORE WILL HAVE A STAFF REPORT, ASSISTED
- 6 BY ELLIOT BLOCK OF THE LEGAL OFFICE.
- 7 MR. LARIMORE: GOOD AFTERNOON, MR.
- 8 CHAIRMAN, COMMITTEE MEMBERS. I'D LIKE TO UPDATE
- 9 THE COMMITTEE ON WHERE WE ARE IN THE RULEMAKING
- 10 FOR THE STORAGE AND CHIPPING AND GRINDING
- 11 REGULATIONS.
- 12 THE BOARD ON FEBRUARY 26TH ADOPTED
- 13 EMERGENCY REGULATIONS FOR CHIPPING AND GRINDING
- 14 AND THE STORAGE OF ORGANIC MATERIALS, INCLUDING
- 15 THE STORAGE OF FEEDSTOCK AND GROWTH MEDIUM AT
- 16 VERMICOMPOSTING ACTIVITIES. THE REGULATIONS WERE
- 17 APPROVED BY THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW AND
- 18 EFFECTIVE ON APRIL 7TH OF THIS YEAR.
- 19 AN EXTENSION OF THE 120-DAY TIME
- 20 PERIOD FOR EMERGENCY REGULATIONS WAS RECENTLY
- 21 GRANTED BY OAL. THE EXTENSION IS THROUGH

# DECEMBER

- 22 1ST OF THIS YEAR. THE 45-DAY PUBLIC COMMENT
- PERIOD ENDED ON JULY 15TH, AND THE FIRST 15-

## DAY

24 COMMENT PERIOD FINISHED ON AUGUST 1ST.

# APPROXI-

25 MATELY 600 INTERESTED PARTIES WERE INCLUDED IN THE

- 1 MAILINGS FOR THESE COMMENT PERIODS.
- 2 A NEGATIVE DECLARATION HAS BEEN
- 3 CIRCULATED TO RESPONSIBLE AGENCIES THROUGH THE
- 4 STATE CLEARINGHOUSE, AND THE COMMENT PERIOD FOR
- 5 THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION ENDS ON AUGUST 25TH.
- 6 STAFF RECEIVED SIX COMMENT LETTERS
- 7 DURING THE 15-DAY COMMENT PERIOD. IN GENERAL, THE
- 8 COMMENTS FALL INTO THREE BROAD CATEGORIES:
- 9 COMMENTS OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF THE REGULATIONS THAT
- 10 ARE RELATED TO OTHER REGULATORY AREAS, SUCH AS
- 11 WATER QUALITY, COMMENTS REQUESTING CLARIFYING
- 12 CHANGES, AND COMMENTS REQUESTING MORE SIGNIFICANT
- 13 CHANGES. COMMENTS OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF THESE
- 14 REGULATIONS WILL BE CONSIDERED AS PART OF THE
- 15 ORGANICS REGULATIONS DEVELOPMENT SEPARATE FROM
- 16 THIS RULEMAKING, WHICH BEGAN IN MAY.
- 17 STAFF WILL ADDRESS REQUESTS FOR
- 18 CLARIFICATION IN THE FOLLOWING WAYS: FIRST, IN
- 19 THE FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS; SECOND, COMMENTS
- 20 WILL BE ADDRESSED AS PART OF THE RULEMAKING
- 21 RECORD; AND, THIRD, THE DRAFT ADVISORY ON THE
- 22 EMERGENCY REGULATIONS WILL BE REVISED.
- 23 CONCERNS WITH THE DEFINITION OF
- 24 MARKET PRODUCT WERE RAISED DURING THE 15-DAY
- 25 COMMENT PERIOD. THIS ISSUE WAS DISCUSSED AT THE

- 1 JULY 15TH COMMITTEE MEETING, AND STAFF STILL
- 2 BELIEVE THE REGULATIONS PROPOSED FOR THE FIRST
- 3 15-DAY COMMENT PERIOD ARE APPROPRIATE. THE MAIN
- 4 CONCERN SEEMED TO BE WITH THE PRECEDENT IT WOULD
- 5 SET FOR DEFINING WHAT IS AND ISN'T SOLID WASTE.
- 6 HOWEVER, STAFF HAVE DRAFTED ALTERNATIVE LANGUAGE
- 7 TO ADDRESS THIS CONCERN. COMMITTEE MEMBERS HAVE
- 8 RECEIVED COPIES OF THIS PROPOSAL FOR ADDITIONAL
- 9 REVISIONS TO THE EMERGENCY REGULATIONS FOR AN
- 10 ADDITIONAL 15-DAY COMMENT PERIOD. ADDITIONAL
- 11 COPIES FOR MEMBERS OF THE AUDIENCE CAN BE FOUND AT
- 12 THE TABLE IN THE BACK OF THE ROOM.
- 13 THE DEFINITION OF MARKET PRODUCT AND
- 14 ANY REFERENCES TO IT WOULD BE DELETED FROM THE
- 15 CHAPTER, BUT THIS PROPOSED LANGUAGE WOULD STILL
- 16 SUBJECT ORGANIC MATERIALS TO THE STORAGE AND
- 17 CHIPPING AND GRINDING STANDARDS UNTIL IT LEAVES
- 18 THE SITE.
- 19 THE OPTIONS FOR THE COMMITTEE ARE,
- 20 ONE, APPROVE THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS AND FORWARD
- 21 THESE TO THE FULL BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION
- 22 FOR ADOPTION AT THE AUGUST 27TH BOARD MEETING;
- 23 TWO, PROVIDE STAFF WITH GUIDANCE AND DIRECT STAFF
- 24 TO MODIFY THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS AND NOTICE
- 25 THESE FOR AN ADDITIONAL 15-DAY PUBLIC COMMENT

- 1 PERIOD. STAFF RECOMMEND OPTION 1. THIS CONCLUDES
- 2 MY PRESENTATION.
- 3 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. IS THERE ANY
- 4 DISCUSSION? IF NOT, WE HAVE SEVERAL COMMENTERS ON
- 5 THIS. LET'S START WITH LINDA NOVICK.
- 6 MS. NOVICK: MY NAME IS LINDA NOVICK. I
- 7 WORK WITH THE BIOGROW DIVISION OF WHEELABRATOR
- 8 WATER TECHNOLOGIES, AND I'M HERE TODAY TO -- WELL,
- 9 YOU HAVE MY LETTER. AND I WASN'T AWARE OF THE
- 10 EXPLICIT OPTIONS BEFORE TODAY, BUT I THINK WE
- 11 WOULD URGE OPTION 2, WHICH WOULD BE TO REOPEN IT
- 12 FOR ANOTHER 15 DAYS.
- 13 ACTUALLY THAT'S A DISAPPOINTING
- 14 RECOMMENDATION FOR US BECAUSE WE WERE ACTUALLY
- 15 HOPING THAT THE CHIPPING AND GRINDING WOULD HAVE
- 16 BEEN DEALT WITH IN THE INITIAL COMPOSTING
- 17 REGULATIONS. WE THINK THIS IS A VERY IMPORTANT
- 18 PACKAGE, AND WE'D LIKE IT TO GO FORWARD AS QUICKLY
- 19 AS POSSIBLE. HOWEVER, WE DID NOT COMMENT DURING
- THE 45 DAYS, THE FIRST 45 DAYS, BECAUSE THE
- 21 DEFINITION AT THAT TIME DIDN'T ADDRESS THIS ISSUE
- 22 OF WHAT'S A WASTE AND WHAT'S NOT A WASTE.
- 23 AND I DON'T THINK THIS IS AN ISSUE
- 24 THAT'S NEW TO THIS BOARD. I THINK EVERY TIME WE
- 25 COME UP HERE WE DISCUSS THAT THIS ISSUE HAS TO BE

- 1 DISCUSSED. WE REALLY NEED A FULL INVESTIGATION OR
- 2 A DECISION ONE WAY OR THE OTHER, BUT TO MAKE THAT
- 3 DECISION IN THE LAST 15-DAY OR THE FIRST 15-DAY
- 4 COMMENT PERIOD ON THE CHIPPING AND GRINDING
- 5 REGULATIONS DOES NOT SEEM TO US THE APPROPRIATE
- 6 PLACE TO DO IT.
- 7 SO I THINK THAT OUR FEELING IS THAT
- 8 WE ONLY WORK IN BENEFICIAL USE. WE WORK IN
- 9 PROCESSES TO CREATE MARKET PRODUCTS. AND IT IS
- 10 HARD FOR US TO BE READING DEFINITIONS OF MARKET
- 11 PRODUCT COMING OUT FROM THE WASTE BOARD THAT SAY
- 12 WE WILL NEVER HAVE A MARKET PRODUCT SITTING ON OUR
- 13 SITE. IT'S ONLY A MARKET PRODUCT WHEN IT MOVES
- 14 OFF THE SITE.
- 15 IN ADDITION, WE ACTUALLY SUPPORT THE
- 16 LEA'S BEING ABLE TO COME OUT TO BOTH OUR
- 17 FACILITIES AND OTHER FACILITIES TO NOT HAVE TO
- 18 CONSTANTLY CHANGE THEIR HAT BETWEEN THE HEALTH
- 19 DEPARTMENT AND THE WASTE BOARD WHEN THEY'RE OUT
- 20 THERE. SO WE REALLY DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH
- 21 THEM LOOKING AT ALL THE PRODUCTS ON THE SITE TO
- 22 MAKE A DECISION WHETHER IT'S A NUISANCE, A HEALTH
- 23 AND SAFETY CONCERN, OR IT'S DECOMPOSING WHEN IT'S
- NOT SUPPOSED TO BE. BUT WE DO FEEL THAT THE
- 25 LANGUAGE IN THE FIRST 15-DAY COMMENT PERIOD WENT

- 1 BEYOND THAT. SO IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS. CHUCK
- WHITE ASKED ME ALSO TO SAY THAT WASTE MANAGEMENT
- 3 ALSO AGREES WITH THAT POSITION.
- 4 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY.
- 5 MS. NOVICK: ANY QUESTIONS.
- 6 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: QUESTIONS? IF NOT,
- 7 THANK YOU. NOW DONALD KOEPP, REPRESENTING VENTURA
- 8 COUNTY LEA.
- 9 MR. KOEPP: MR. CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE
- 10 COMMITTEE, MY NAME IS DON KOEPP, ENVIRONMENTAL
- 11 HEALTH DIRECTOR WITH THE COUNTY OF VENTURA AND
- 12 REPRESENTING THE LEA TODAY.
- 13 I'D LIKE TO, FIRST OF ALL, THANK
- 14 BRIAN LARIMORE AND ELLIOT BLOCK FOR THEIR
- 15 ASSISTANCE IN KIND OF GUIDING US THROUGH SOME OF
- 16 THE INTRICACIES AS IT RELATES TO HOW TO ENFORCE
- 17 THESE REGULATIONS THAT ARE GOING TO BE IMPORTANT
- 18 TO US AS WE MOVE FORWARD BY THE TIME THESE ARE
- 19 ADOPTED.
- 20 MY COMMENTS TODAY REALLY -- I HAVE
- ONE, I GUESS, SUBSTANTIVE COMMENT AND THE OTHER
- ONE HAS TO DO WITH GUIDANCE FROM THE BOARD AND
- 23 PERHAPS SOME WAY THEY COULD CLARIFY THE LANGUAGE
- 24 IN THE CURRENT PERMITS AS THEY RELATE TO WHAT
- 25 ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS ARE AVAILABLE TO LEA'S FOR

- 1 OPERATIONS, CHIPPING AND GRINDING AND STORAGE
- 2 OPERATIONS, THAT ARE NOT AT A SOLID WASTE
- 3 FACILITY; THAT IS, THEY'RE OUTSIDE, THEY'RE
- 4 EXCLUDED FROM ANY OF THE PERMITTING TIERS THAT
- 5 YOUR BOARD HAS FOR STANDARD NOTIFICATION,
- 6 REGISTRATION, ETC. THESE FACILITIES WILL BE
- 7 OPERATING AND HAVE STANDARDS OUTSIDE OF THAT.
- NOW, WHAT I WOULD HOPE WE COULD
- 9 PROBABLY PROVIDE SOME CLARIFICATION HERE IS WHAT
- 10 ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS ARE AVAILABLE TO THE LEA TO
- 11 DEAL WITH PROBLEMS WHEN WE HAVE ODORS, FIRES, AND,
- 12 ETC.
- 13 ELLIOT HAS DISCUSSED THIS MATTER
- 14 WITH MY LEGAL COUNSEL. AND BASICALLY WE WOULD ASK
- 15 THE BOARD IF THERE COULD BE ADDITIONAL
- 16 CLARIFICATION LANGUAGE IN HERE AS TO THE
- 17 ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS THAT ARE AVAILABLE TO THE
- 18 LEA'S TO ENFORCE THE STANDARDS THAT YOUR BOARD IS
- 19 GOING TO BE ADOPTING SOMETIME DOWN THE ROAD.
- 20 MR. BLOCK: I DID HAVE A DISCUSSION WITH
- 21 BILL MORITZ, WHO'S THE DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL FOR
- 22 VENTURA COUNTY, ABOUT 4 O'CLOCK YESTERDAY, AND WE
- 23 HAD SOME TIME THIS MORNING WHILE SOME OTHER BOARD
- 24 ITEMS WERE GOING ON. IF THE COMMITTEE WANTS TO
- 25 DISCUSS IT, THEN WE CAN DISCUSS THOSE NOW OR AFTER

- 1 ALL THE OTHER COMMENTERS ARE THROUGH. THERE'S
- 2 SOME LANGUAGE THAT MR. KOEPP HAD INDICATED WOULD
- 3 DEAL WITH THE ISSUE THAT HE'S RAISED. I DON'T
- 4 KNOW IF YOU WANT TO TALK ABOUT THOSE NOW OR WAIT
- 5 TILL EVERYBODY HAS HAD A CHANCE TO COMMENT.
- 6 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: WELL, MAYBE WE CAN
- 7 DISPOSE OF THAT ISSUE RIGHT NOW WHILE HE'S HERE
- 8 ALSO.
- 9 MR. BLOCK: JUST VERY BRIEFLY, THE ISSUE
- 10 THAT'S BEEN RAISED, WHEN WE DID THE TIER
- 11 REGULATIONS -- AT THIS POINT NOW IT'S ABOUT THREE
- 12 YEARS AGO -- WE ADDED SOME CLARIFYING LANGUAGE TO
- 13 THOSE REGULATIONS THAT IN THE NOTIFICATION TIER
- 14 AND THE EXCLUDED TIER SPECIFYING THAT NOTHING IN
- 15 THESE REGULATIONS PRECLUDES THE ENFORCEMENT AGENCY
- 16 OR THE BOARD FROM INSPECTING OPERATIONS TO VERIFY
- 17 WHETHER THEY SHOULD BE IN THAT TIER OR NOT,
- 18 INSPECTING TO VERIFY THAT THEY'RE COMPLYING WITH
- 19 THE MINIMUM STANDARDS AND/OR TAKING ENFORCEMENT
- 20 ACTION.
- 21 WHAT MR. KOEPP AND I AND MR. MORITZ
- 22 AND I TALKED ABOUT WAS TAKING THAT SAME LANGUAGE.
- 23 WE DON'T ACTUALLY HAVE THE LANGUAGE IN THE
- 24 CHIPPING AND GRINDING SECTION AND IN THE STORAGE
- 25 SECTIONS, SO WHAT WE'D BE TALKING ABOUT IS

- 1 BASICALLY TAKING THE SAME LANGUAGE AND ALSO ADDING
- 2 A SUBSECTION TO EACH OF THOSE STANDARDS SO THAT
- 3 THERE'S NO OUESTION AS TO WHAT THE ENFORCEMENT
- 4 AUTHORITY IS.
- 5 THE LANGUAGE ISN'T IN THOSE SECTIONS
- 6 NOW SIMPLY BECAUSE AUTHORITY IS THERE UNDER
- 7 STATUTE. AND WHAT MR. MORITZ AND MR. KOEPP ARE
- 8 ASKING FOR IS JUST SOME SPECIFIC LANGUAGE IN THE
- 9 REGULATIONS SO IT BASICALLY MAKES THEIR JOB A LOT
- 10 EASIER. THEY DON'T HAVE TO GET INTO LONG
- 11 DISCUSSIONS ABOUT WHETHER THEY CAN TAKE THIS
- 12 ENFORCEMENT ACTION. THEY CAN SIMPLY POINT TO THE
- 13 LANGUAGE IN THE REGULATIONS. SO THIS WOULD BE
- 14 SOMETHING THAT COULD ALSO BE PART OF THE OPTIONAL
- 15 LANGUAGE.
- 16 LET ME TAKE ANOTHER MOMENT. I CAN
- 17 ACTUALLY SHOW YOU WHAT IT LOOKS LIKE ON THE
- 18 MONITOR.
- 19 IT'S VIRTUALLY -- IT WOULD BE
- 20 VIRTUALLY THE SAME FOR -- IT WOULD BE VIRTUALLY
- 21 THE SAME FOR THE CHIPPING AND GRINDING SECTION AND
- 22 THE STORAGE SECTION, JUST ADDING ANOTHER
- 23 SUBSECTION TO EACH OF THOSE. I CAN ACTUALLY PASS
- 24 THIS AROUND. I DID THIS OVER LUNCH WHILE YOU HAD
- 25 A LUNCH BREAK JUST VERY QUICKLY, THINKING IT MIGHT

- 1 BE USEFUL.
- 2 THIS IS THE SAME LANGUAGE WITH SOME
- 3 SLIGHT VARIATIONS THAT APPEARS IN THE NOTIFICATION
- 4 TIER SECTION RIGHT NOW. ALL I'VE CHANGED IS
- 5 REFERENCES TO SUBSECTION A AND B, AND THOSE
- 6 SECTIONS USE THE TERM "ACTIVITY," AND SO I'VE USED
- 7 THAT IN THIS SECTION.
- 8 MR. CHANDLER: ELLIOT, WHY WAS IT AGAIN
- 9 THAT THIS WASN'T OR ISN'T ALREADY EMBODIED
- 10 IN THE --
- 11 MR. BLOCK: THE ORIGINAL VERSION OF THE
- 12 TIER REGULATIONS DIDN'T ACTUALLY HAVE THIS
- 13 LANGUAGE AS WELL; AND WHILE THOSE REGULATIONS WERE
- 14 GOING THROUGH THE PROCESS, THERE WERE SOME
- 15 REQUESTS FOR CLARITY ABOUT THIS ISSUE. SO AT THAT
- 16 POINT -- AT THAT TIME AND ALSO FOR THESE
- 17 REGULATIONS, IT'S THE LEGAL OFFICE'S POSITION THAT
- 18 THIS AUTHORITY EXISTS WHETHER OR NOT IT'S IN THE
- 19 REGULATIONS. AND MR. MORITZ AGREED THAT WE HAVE
- 20 THE AUTHORITY. SO IT WAS ADDED TO THOSE
- 21 REGULATIONS MORE FOR PURPOSES OF CLARITY BECAUSE
- THE QUESTION HAD COME UP.
- 23 BASICALLY THIS IS NOW THE FIRST TIME
- 24 THAT THIS QUESTION HAS COME UP IN THE CONTEXT OF
- 25 THESE REGULATIONS, AND THAT'S WHY IT WASN'T PUT IN

- 1 IN THE FIRST PLACE. WE WERE TRYING TO -- THE
- 2 EMERGENCY REGULATIONS WAS SUPPOSED TO BE A QUICK
- 3 FIX, AND WE WEREN'T -- IT WASN'T GOING THROUGH
- 4 INFORMAL PROCESS. WE HAD A LOT OF DISCUSSION AND
- 5 COMMENT, BUT THIS WOULD CERTAINLY -- WE COULD ADD
- 6 THIS LANGUAGE. IT'S NOT A SUBSTANTIVE CHANGE
- 7 BECAUSE, AS I SAID, IT'S JUST FOR CLARITY.
- 8 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: SO THIS IN AND OF
- 9 ITSELF WOULD NOT REQUIRE AN ADDITIONAL COMMENT
- 10 PERIOD?
- MR. BLOCK: I BELIEVE WE COULD DO THIS
- 12 CHANGE AS A SECTION 100, WOULDN'T REQUIRE A 15-DAY
- 13 COMMENT PERIOD. IT'S -- IT WOULD BE THIS AND IT
- 14 WOULD BE IN TWO DIFFERENT SECTIONS. THERE'S ALSO
- 15 THE 17862.2 IS THE STORAGE SECTION. IT COULD BE
- 16 DONE, AND I DON'T BELIEVE THERE WOULD BE ANY
- 17 DIFFICULTY IN DOING THAT. I'M HESITANT SIMPLY
- 18 BECAUSE ANY TIME YOU SEE SOME LANGUAGE THAT LOOKS
- 19 LIKE THAT AND IT'S THAT MUCH OF A CHANGE AS
- 20 OPPOSED TO A WORD OR TWO, THERE'S ALWAYS THE
- 21 POSSIBILITY THAT AN OAL ATTORNEY COULD LOOK AT
- 22 THAT AND THINK, WELL, THAT'S KIND OF A

### SUBSTANTIVE

- 23 CHANGE. YOU SHOULD HAVE SENT THAT OUT FOR
- 24 COMMENT.
- 25 SO ONE OF THE THINGS THAT ACTUALLY

- 1 MEANT TO MENTION A LITTLE BIT EARLIER IN TERMS OF
- THE OPTIONAL LANGUAGE, WE HAVE ACTUALLY

### DETERMINED

- 3 THAT WE WOULD BE ABLE TO FIT A 15-DAY COMMENT
- 4 PERIOD IN BEFORE THE AUGUST BOARD MEETING SO THAT
- 5 WE WOULDN'T BE PUSHED BACK ANOTHER MONTH ON THESE.
  - 6 SO -- BUT IT'S CERTAINLY MY POSITION WE COULD DO
  - 7 THIS WITHOUT DOING THE OTHER CHANGE, WITHOUT

### DOING

- 8 A 15-DAY.
- 9 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. THANK YOU.
- 10 MR. KOEPP: MR. CHAIR, I HAD ONE OTHER
- 11 COMMENT. I APOLOGIZE FOR INTERRUPTING.
- 12 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OH, OKAY. FINE.
- 13 MR. KOEPP: MY OTHER COMMENT HAD TO DO
- 14 WITH THE SEVEN-DAY TIMEOUT PERIOD AS IT RELATES

# TO

- 15 THESE FACILITIES, CHIPPING AND GRINDING AND
- 16 MULCHING. IT'S BEEN OUR EXPERIENCE THAT ACTUALLY
- 17 IT CAN BE PROBLEMATIC WHEN MATERIALS ARRIVE; THAT
- 18 IS, THERE CAN BE NUISANCE CONDITIONS ALREADY IN
- 19 EXISTENCE WHEN MATERIAL ARRIVES AT A SITE. AND
- 20 BEYOND THE ISSUE OF DETERMINING WHEN THE SEVEN-

## DAY

21 BEGINS AND WHEN THE SEVEN-DAY ENDS, WHICH IS

22	OBVIOUSLY GOING TO CAUSE SOME DISCUSSION BETWEEN
23	LEA'S AND OPERATORS OF THESE FACILITIES, OUR
	EXPERIENCE HAS BEEN THAT MATERIAL SOMETIMES HAS EVEN ARRIVED ON FIRE, MUCH LESS SITTING AROUND

- 1 SEVEN DAYS AFTER BEING PROCESSED AND RESULTING IN
- THE PROBLEM.
- 3 SO MY REQUEST WOULD BE JUST TO --
- 4 STAFF MAY HAVE A RATIONALE FOR THIS, BUT JUST TO
- 5 POINT OUT TO THE BOARD THAT THERE ARE PROBLEMS
- 6 WITH MATERIALS THAT ARRIVE ON THESE SITES PRIOR TO
- 7 THE SEVEN DAYS.
- 8 THERE'S ALSO AN UNEQUAL
- 9 ENFORCEMENT -- PERHAPS AN UNEQUAL ENFORCEMENT
- 10 ISSUE HERE THAT IF YOU HAVE A FACILITY LOCATED ON
- 11 A SOLID WASTE FACILITY, THERE IS NO SEVEN-DAY
- 12 GRACE PERIOD BECAUSE WE'RE APPLYING MINIMUM
- 13 STANDARDS. AND SO IF, IN FACT, THAT MATERIAL IS
- 14 CAUSING NUISANCE CONDITIONS, THEN IT'S MY
- 15 UNDERSTANDING THEY WOULD BE SUBJECT TO THE
- 16 REGULATIONS, THE MINIMUM STANDARDS, FOR THAT
- 17 FACILITY.
- 18 SO I JUST WANTED TO RAISE THAT
- 19 QUESTION, THAT THE SEVEN DAYS, I'M NOT SURE WHAT
- 20 THE BASIS FOR THAT SEVEN-DAY TIMEOUT OR GRACE
- 21 PERIOD WAS BEFORE YOU CAN APPLY ANY REGULATIONS
- OR
- 22 ENFORCEMENT. THAT'S JUST A COMMENT.
- 23 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: DO YOU HAVE THE

## SECTION

24 ON THAT? I SEE THE SEVEN DAYS AS RELATES TO

- 1 INDICATING THE PROHIBITION.
- 2 MR. KOEPP: WELL, IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING
- 3 MAYBE THE MATERIAL STORED ON SITE FOR SEVEN DAYS
- 4 IS EXCLUDED FROM THE STORAGE AND GRINDING, STORAGE
- 5 REQUIREMENTS, STORAGE, CHIPPING AND GRINDING
- 6 REQUIREMENTS. SO IT SEEMED TO ME, AS I READ THE
- 7 REGULATION, THAT SOMEHOW FOR SEVEN DAYS AFTER A
- 8 MATERIAL HAS ARRIVED AT A SITE TO BE CHIPPED AND
- 9 GROUND OR PERHAPS EVEN AFTER THAT, THAT THERE IS
- 10 SOMEHOW A SEVEN-DAY PERIOD BY WHICH AN LEA MAY NOT
- 11 BE ABLE TO TAKE AN ENFORCEMENT ACTION. IS THAT --
- 12 MR. BLOCK: I THINK IT'S PAGE 69 OF YOUR
- 13 PACKETS, SECTION 17862.1(B)(1) AND 17862.2(B)(1).
- 14 AND IT'S PHRASED IN KIND OF A BACKWARDS WAY, IF
- 15 YOU WILL, WHERE THE EXCLUSION IS IF THE RECORDS
- 16 INDICATE THAT THE MATERIAL HAS BEEN ON SITE FOR
- 17 LESS THAN SEVEN DAYS. AND THE REASON IT'S

# WORDED

- 18 THAT WAY IS TO GET AT THIS ISSUE OF HAVING TO
- 19 DETERMINE WHETHER IT'S BEEN THERE SEVEN DAYS; IN
- 20 OTHER WORDS, PUTS THE BURDEN ON THE OPERATOR TO
- 21 SHOW FROM RECORDS THAT, IN FACT, THE MATERIAL

#### HAS

- 22 BEEN THERE FOR LESS THAN SEVEN DAYS. THAT'S WHY
- 23 IT'S PHRASED THAT WAY.
- 24 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: ALSO, IN 17855 IS THE

- 1 CUBIC YARDS OR LESS IS ON SITE AT ANY ONE TIME,
- 2 STORED FOR SEVEN DAYS OR LESS. SO BY EXCLUSION,
- 3 THAT THEN INCLUDES EVERYTHING OF MORE THAN A --
- 4 MR. BLOCK: RIGHT. I THINK THAT -- AND I
- 5 ONLY -- I MAY HAVE MISSED THE FIRST SENTENCE OR
- 6 TWO, BUT I BELIEVE WHAT MR. KOEPP IS REFERRING TO
- 7 IS THE FACT THAT CHIPPING AND GRINDING AND STORAGE
- 8 ON SITE AT A SOLID WASTE FACILITY ARE NOT COVERED
- 9 BY THE CHIPPING AND GRINDING REGS THROUGH THE
- 10 EXCLUSION THAT'S IN SECTION 17862.1 AND .2(B)(3).
- 11 IT'S THE ONE THAT SAYS ACTIVITIES LOCATED AT AN
- 12 OPERATION OR FACILITY THAT HAS A TIERED OR FULL
- 13 PERMIT AND THE ACTIVITY IS IDENTIFIED IN THE
- 14 REPORT OF FACILITY INFORMATION.
- 15 THE REASON WHY THAT EXCLUSION IS
- 16 THERE, THE IDEA WAS THAT THIS ACTIVITY WAS ALREADY
- 17 GOING TO BE COVERED BY THE EXISTING PERMIT. SO
- 18 WHAT I THINK MR. KOEPP IS ALLUDING TO IS THE FACT
- 19 THAT BECAUSE IT'S AN ONGOING FACILITY, IT'S
- 20 ALREADY SUBJECT TO STANDARDS WITHOUT A SEVEN-DAY
- 21 AND HE'S USED THE TERM "GRACE PERIOD."
- MR. KOEPP: IS THAT THE CASE? I GUESS --
- 23 MR. BLOCK: I GUESS THAT WOULD BE THE
- 24 CASE. IT'S AN INDIRECT EFFECT. I DON'T THINK WE
- 25 ACTUALLY THOUGHT ABOUT THAT WHEN THAT EXCLUSION

- 1 WAS ADDED.
- 2 MR. KOEPP: YOU KNOW, I JUST ASK THE
- 3 BOARD TO CONSIDER FROM A SUBSTANTIVE POINT OF VIEW
- 4 THERE ARE PROBLEMS WITH MATERIAL FROM THE DAY
- 5 OFTENTIMES IT ARRIVES. SEVEN DAYS, I'M NOT REALLY
- 6 SURE HOW THAT NUMBER WAS ARRIVED AT OR WHY, BUT
- 7 THERE ARE PROBLEMS WITH MATERIALS THAT ARRIVE AT
- 8 THESE SITES THAT ARE STORED LONGER THAN SEVEN DAYS
- 9 AND REALLY WHEN THEY COME TO THE SITE. JUST ASK
- 10 YOU TO CONSIDER THAT COMMENT.
- 11 MR. BLOCK: MY RECOLLECTION WAS THAT THE
- 12 SEVEN-DAY LANGUAGE WAS ADDED AT THE COMMITTEE
- 13 MEETING WHEN WE FIRST DECIDED TO GO OUT FOR 45-DAY
- 14 COMMENT. AND THE CONCERN WAS THAT THESE
- 15 REGULATIONS WERE DESIGNED TO DEAL WITH, IN
- 16 ESSENCE, A SHAM DISPOSAL KIND OF A SITUATION.
- SO
- 17 THE THOUGHT WAS THAT WE OUGHT TO AT LEAST HAVE A
- 18 SITUATION WHERE THE MATERIAL WASN'T JUST QUICKLY
- 19 MOVING THROUGH THE PROPERTY BEFORE THE STANDARD
- 20 STARTED TO APPLY TO THEM.
- 21 MEMBER RELIS: I THINK OUR FOCUS WAS ON
- 22 STORAGE. WASN'T ON THE RECEIPT OF.
- 23 MR. BLOCK: THAT WAS MY RECOLLECTION.
- MR. KOEPP: OKAY. THANK YOU.
- 25 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. THANK YOU.

- 1 WILL BAKX.
- 2 MR. BAKX: THANK YOU FOR GIVING ME TIME
- 3 TO COMMENT ON THIS HERE. FIRST OF ALL, LET ME
- 4 TELL YOU I'M SPEAKING AS SONOMA COMPOST, NOT OF
- 5 ANY OTHER ORGANIZATION AT THIS POINT BECAUSE I'M
- 6 NOT ON THE BOARD ANYMORE. LIBERATES ME
- 7 TREMENDOUSLY TO SPEAK FREELY.
- 8 LET'S SEE. I THINK THE FIRST THING
- 9 THAT I WANT TO COMMENT ON IS THE -- THERE IS A
- 10 SECTION IN THE EMERGENCY REGULATIONS RIGHT NOW
- 11 UNDER STORAGE THAT PEOPLE THAT ARE UNDER A TIERED
- 12 PERMIT, THAT THEY ARE EXCLUDED. HOWEVER, ON THE
- 13 EXCLUSION CHAPTER THERE'S NOTHING MENTIONED ABOUT
- 14 THAT. AND TO ME THAT WAS CONFUSING WHEN I LOOKED
- 15 FOR THAT, THAT THERE WAS NO CROSS REFERENCE ON
- 16 THESE HERE. AND I WOULD HOPE THAT THAT COULD BE
- 17 CLARIFIED, THAT UNDER EXCLUSION, THE PEOPLE THAT
- 18 ARE IN TIERED PERMIT, THAT THESE REGULATIONS DO
- 19 NOT GO TO THEM AS WELL SO THAT WE DO NOT HAVE TO
- 20 HAVE A SEPARATE STORAGE PERMIT.
- 21 NEXT THING I WANT TO BRING UP IS FOR
- 22 VERMICOMPOSTING. I'VE ALWAYS HAD A PROBLEM WITH
- 23 THIS HERE. I BROUGHT IT UP NUMEROUS TIMES BEFORE
- 24 RIGHT FROM THE BEGINNING AND QUESTIONED WHY ARE
- 25 VERMICOMPOSTERS TREATED WITH KID GLOVES. I DO NOT

- 1 UNDERSTAND. RIGHT NOW, AGAIN, COMPOSTING OF
- 2 ORGANIC MATERIALS TO SOLELY PRODUCE GROWTH MEDIUM
- 3 FOR WORM BEDS IS SUBJECT TO THE STORAGE
- 4 REQUIREMENTS, BUT NOT SUBJECT TO THE BOARD'S
- 5 PERMITTING REQUIREMENTS. IF YOU COMPOST MATERIAL
- 6 AND YOU DO THE SAME THING THAT I DO AT MY
- 7 FACILITY, JUST TO MAKE IT A FEEDSTOCK FOR WORMS,
- 8 WHY NOT HOLD THEM TO THE SAME STANDARD THAT I HAVE
- 9 TO BE HELD TO? I DO NOT UNDERSTAND THIS. IF THE
- 10 BOARD CAN GIVE ME CLARIFICATION ON THAT, I REALLY
- 11 WOULD APPRECIATE THAT.
- 12 MEMBER RELIS: I COULD ONLY SAY, HAVING
- 13 BEEN, UNFORTUNATELY, THE ONLY MEMBER OF THE THREE
- 14 OF US WHO WERE INVOLVED IN THAT DECISION, WE WERE
- 15 PERSUADED, I BELIEVE, AT THAT TIME THAT VERMI-
- 16 COMPOSTING WAS SOMETHING DIFFERENT. YOU KNOW,
- 17 VERY SMALL PILES THAT DIDN'T BEHAVE, DIDN'T LOOK,
- 18 BEHAVE LIKE COMPOST. WE'VE PARTIALLY BEEN
- 19 EDUCATED BY EXPERIENCE. THAT'S WHY WE WENT INTO
- 20 THE EMERGENCY REGULATIONS BECAUSE OF THE
- 21 SITUATIONS WHERE IT WAS PILED AND MATERIAL WAS
- 22 PILED AND WAS BEHAVING LIKE COMPOST -- LIKE A
- 23 COMPOSTING OPERATION, WHETHER INADVERTENT OR NOT.
- 24 SO THAT WAS THE SUBJECT OF
- 25 TESTIMONY, AND WE MADE A CALL THAT IT WAS

- 1 FUNDAMENTALLY DIFFERENT THAN A COMPOSTING
- 2 OPERATION. WHETHER WE'RE CORRECT OR NOT IS THE
- 3 SUBJECT OF OUR REVISITING OUR REGULATIONS.
- 4 MR. BAKX: I'D LIKE THE BOARD TO REVISIT
- 5 THAT ISSUE.
- 6 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: IT, I THINK, GOES
- 7 ANOTHER STEP IN THAT THERE'S A FAIR BODY OF
- 8 THOUGHT THAT VERMICOMPOSTING FALLS UNDER THE
- 9 CATEGORY OF ANIMAL HUSBANDRY AND IS NO DIFFERENT
- 10 IN FEEDING WORMS THAN FEEDING CATTLE OR SOME OTHER
- 11 ANIMAL.
- 12 MR. BAKX: THAT IS AT THE POINT WHERE THE
- 13 FEEDSTOCK IS BEING DELIVERED. TO CREATE THE
- 14 FEEDSTOCK STILL FALLS UNDER THE COMPOSTING
- 15 REGULATIONS IN MY OPINION.
- 16 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: I THINK THAT'S WHAT
- 17 WE'RE TRYING TO DO HERE IS AT LEAST CLEAN IT UP TO
- 18 THAT POINT.
- 19 MR. BAKX: BUT IT'S STILL NOT, ACCORDING
- 20 TO THIS LANGUAGE, IN MY OPINION, AND TELL ME IF
- 21 I'M WRONG, UNDER THIS HERE, IT IS SUBJECT TO THE
- 22 STORAGE REGULATIONS, BUT NOT TO THE TIERED
- 23 PERMITTING.
- 24 MEMBER RELIS: THAT'S BECAUSE WE HAVE
- 25 NOT -- OKAY. YOU'RE LOOKING AT THE EMERGENCY

- 1 REGULATIONS, AND THE UNDERLYING FACTOR IS OUR
- 2 PERMANENT REGULATIONS. AND IF WE'RE TO ADDRESS
- 3 THE CONCERNS THAT YOU'RE RAISING, THAT WOULD HAVE
- 4 TO BE DONE IN OUR PERMANENT OR OUR TIERED
- 5 PERMITTING SYSTEM AGAIN.
- 6 MR. BLOCK: IF I MAY, THIS IS ONE OF THE
- 7 ISSUES THAT WE HAVE ON THE LIST WHEN WE DO WHAT
- 8 WE'RE CALLING THE ORGANICS TIER RULEMAKING, ISSUES
- 9 REGARDING SLOTTING OF THESE TYPE OF OPERATIONS AND
- 10 WHETHER WE WANT TO REVISIT THESE. IT'S DIFFICULT
- 11 BECAUSE NOW WE'RE ALREADY INTO AUGUST, AND THESE
- 12 WERE SUPPOSED TO BE THE QUICK FIX EMERGENCY REGS.
- 13 THESE REGULATIONS BEFORE YOU WERE
- 14 DEVELOPED, AS REGULATIONS GO, FAIRLY QUICKLY
- 15 WITHOUT THE BENEFIT OF WHAT WE TYPICALLY DO WITH A
- 16 NUMBER OF WORKSHOPS AND DISCUSSIONS WITH VARIOUS
- 17 GROUPS INVOLVED AND ISSUES REGARDING LEGAL
- 18 AUTHORITY AND THE LIKE. AND SO WHAT WE WANTED TO
- 19 DO WAS MAKE SURE WE HAD SOME STANDARDS THAT
- 20 APPLIED, BUT AT THE SAME TIME WE WEREN'T FINISHING
- 21 LOOKING AT THE ISSUE.
- ONE OF THE ISSUES THAT HAS COME UP
- 23 IN THE PAST WITH VERMICOMPOSTING IS THE FACT THAT
- 24 IS IN THE FOOD AND AGRICULTURAL CODE IDENTIFIED AS
- 25 A TYPE OF AGRICULTURE. AND SO SIMILAR TO WHAT

1	WE'VE DONE WITH SOME OF THE AGRICULTURAL
2	COMPOSTING OPERATIONS IN THE REGULATIONS, WE WERE
3	NOT READY IN THESE REGULATIONS TO PUT THEM INTO A

4 PERMITTING TIER BECAUSE THERE ARE SOME SIGNIFICANT

ISSUES THERE REGARDING WHAT THE APPROPRIATE LEVEL

6 OF REGULATORY CONTROL, LEGAL AUTHORITY ISSUES, AND

7 THE LIKE. AND SO WHAT WE DID ADD IN THE LAST

8 15-DAY COMMENT PERIOD WAS THE LANGUAGE THAT SAYS

THAT IT IS SUBJECT TO THIS SECTION. THE ORIGINAL

10 VERSION OF THE EMERGENCY REGULATIONS SIMPLY SAID

11 "NOT SUBJECT TO THE PERMITTING REQUIREMENTS," AND

12 THAT HAD CAUSED SOME CONFUSION. SO WE MADE CLEAR

13 THAT THEY ARE SUBJECT TO THE STORAGE STANDARDS.

14 AND, OF COURSE, IF THE COMPOSTING

IS

16

5

9

GOING ON AND THE MATERIAL IS ALSO BEING SOLD AS

COMPOST SEPARATE FROM JUST BEING USED IN THE WORM

17 BEDS, THEN THEY WOULD FALL WITHIN THE COMPOSTING

18 REQUIREMENTS. AND THAT'S THE PHRASE "COMPOSTING

19 FACILITY PRODUCED GROWTH MEDIUM FOR WORMS." SO

IF

20 YOU ARE PRODUCING GROWTH MEDIUM, SOME OF IT'S

21 GOING TO THE WORMS AND SOME OF IT IS BEING SOLD

AS

22 COMPOST, THAT WOULD PULL THE OPERATION BACK INTO

23 THE COMPOSTING TIERS.

MR. BAKX: ANOTHER COMMENT I WANT TO

MAKE
25 IS REGARDING TO MULCHING FACILITIES. THE WORD

- 1 BEING USED HERE IS "INADVERTENTLY COMPOSTING."
- 2 I'M KIND OF WARY OF THAT TERMINOLOGY. I WAS WARY
- 3 OF THAT IN THE EARLY '90S WHEN THAT CAME UP. I
- 4 CALL IT COMPOSTING BY NEGLECT, AND I STILL HOLD

ΒY

- 5 THAT TERM.
- I THINK THAT WE NEED TO COME UP

# WITH

- 7 SOMETHING THAT IS MORE SPECIFIC. IF THE MATERIAL
- 8 HAS WATER IN IT, IF THE MATERIAL IS HEATING UP,

ΙF

- 9 THE MATERIAL PRODUCES A FINISHED COMPOST, I SHOW
- 10 MY LEA TWO SAMPLES OF COMPOST. ONE WAS THAT I

HAD

11 ON SITE AS A MULCH MATERIAL THAT STAYED OVER

## THERE

- 12 OVER WINTER, ONE THAT WAS MANAGED, AND HE COULD
- 13 NOT TELL THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE TWO PRODUCTS.
- 14 AND I HAVE FEAR THAT, YOU KNOW,

## SOME

- 15 PEOPLE CAN COMPOST BY NEGLECT, AND I DO NOT CALL
- 16 THAT INADVERTENTLY MATERIAL ON SITE. AND I FIND
- 17 THAT A PROBLEM WITH A LEVEL PLAYING FIELD IN THE
- 18 FIELD FOR THE COMPOSTERS, UNFAIR TREATMENT.
- 19 I ALSO AGREE WITH LINDA, THAT GIVEN

20	THE FACT SOME CHANGES THAT CAME UP, THAT WE
SHOULD	
21	GO FOR ANOTHER 15-DAY HEARING PERIOD. ANY
22	QUESTIONS?
23	CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: LET'S SEE. YOUR FIRST
24 25	POINT WAS ON THE EXCLUSION MR. BAKX: OF THE VERMICOMPOSTING.

- 1 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: NO. WAS THAT YOUR
- 2 FIRST ONE?
- 3 MR. BAKX: I THINK SO.
- 4 MEMBER JONES: FIRST ONE WAS ON IF IT'S
- 5 ACTIVITY AT A PERMITTED SITE, WASN'T IT? WASN'T
- 6 THAT YOUR FIRST ONE?
- 7 MR. BAKX: IF IT IS ON A PERMITTED SITE,
- 8 THAT WE DO NOT FALL UNDER STORAGE. AND IT'S
- 9 MENTIONED UNDER THE STORAGE CHAPTER OUT THERE, BUT
- 10 IT'S NOT MENTIONED ON THE EXCLUSION, IF I'M NOT
- 11 MISTAKEN.
- 12 MR. BLOCK: SECTION 17855, IT'S PAGE 66
- OF YOUR PACKET, WHICH IS THE EXCLUDED ACTIVITY
- 14 SECTION, THAT IS THE EXCLUSION FROM THE OPERATION
- 15 OF THE COMPOSTING REGS, PERIOD, THE ENTIRE
- 16 CHAPTER. AND THAT'S WHY YOU DON'T HAVE AN
- 17 EXCLUSION FOR ALREADY PERMITTED SITES IN THERE
- 18 BECAUSE AT THIS POINT WE WOULD REQUIRE IF A
- 19 LANDFILL WANTED TO ALSO START A COMPOSTING
- 20 OPERATION, THAT WOULD NEED TO MEET THE

# APPROPRIATE

- 21 REQUIREMENTS IN THE COMPOST REGULATIONS.
- 22 WE HAVE HAD SITUATIONS WHERE

#### THEY

23 HAVE EITHER ADDED THAT TO THEIR EXISTING

PERMIT OR

GOTTEN A SEPARATE PERMIT. SO THE EXCLUSION 25 APPEARS IN THE CHIPPING AND GRINDING AND STORAGE

- 1 SECTIONS BECAUSE THAT'S THE NEW SECTIONS THAT
- THERE ARE REQUIREMENTS BEING ADDED AND THEY'RE
- 3 BEING EXCLUDED FROM THAT.
- 4 AGAIN, REMEMBER THAT THE PURPOSE OF
- 5 THESE REGULATIONS WAS TO PROVIDE SOME STANDARDS
- 6 FOR OPERATIONS THAT WERE NOT OTHERWISE BEING
- 7 REGULATED. AND THE EXAMPLE YOU GAVE, THE EXISTING
- 8 OPERATION THAT IS EITHER SUBJECT TO THE COMPOST
- 9 REGULATIONS OR THEY HAVE IT AS PART OF THEIR
- 10 FACILITY PERMIT, THEY ARE SUBJECT TO STANDARDS.
- 11 SO THAT'S WHY YOU DON'T HAVE THAT EXCLUSION IN
- 12 BOTH PLACES.
- MR. BAKX: SO I CAN WALK OUT THE DOOR AND
- BE ASSURED THAT SINCE I HAVE A PERMIT, THAT I WILL
- 15 NOT BE HELD TO THE STORAGE?
- 16 MR. BLOCK: AND YOUR PERMIT INCLUDES THE
- 17 COMPOSTING?
- 18 MR. BAKX: YES.
- MR. BLOCK: THAT'S CORRECT.
- 20 MEMBER JONES: AND IT WOULD HAVE STORAGE
- 21 LIMITATIONS WITHIN THAT --
- MR. BLOCK: IT WOULD BE --
- 23 MEMBER JONES: AS THE ORIGINAL PERMIT.
- MR. BLOCK: THAT'S CORRECT.
- MR. BAKX: OKAY. THANK YOU.

- 1 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: THAT SORT OF BEGS THE
- 2 POINT HERE, THEN, THAT ON OUR EARLIER ONGOING
- 3 DISCUSSION ABOUT STORAGE AND THE CURRENT
- 4 DEFINITION AS TO WHETHER THAT HOLDS THOSE TWO
- 5 ACTIVITIES TO THE SAME STANDARD. TWO SIMILAR
- 6 ACTIVITIES. SO WE'RE GOING TO WORK ON THAT ONE, I
- 7 GUESS, BEFORE WE GET THROUGH. OKAY. THANK YOU.
- 8 EVAN EDGAR.
- 9 MR. EDGAR: GOOD AFTERNOON, CHAIRMAN AND
- 10 COMMITTEE MEMBERS. EVAN EDGAR ON BEHALF OF
- 11 CALIFORNIA REFUSE REMOVAL COUNCIL, REPRESENTING 15
- 12 PERMITTED COMPOST FACILITIES IN CALIFORNIA. CRRC
- 13 HAS BEEN A LONG-TERM SUPPORTER OF THESE
- 14 REGULATIONS. WE'VE BEEN IN FRONT OF THIS BOARD
- 15 MANY TIMES SPEAKING ABOUT THE NEED FOR THESE
- 16 REGULATIONS. SO I'M GLAD THAT WE'RE HERE TODAY.
- 17 I'M GLAD THAT WE HAD THE EMERGENCY REGULATIONS
- 18 THAT WERE EFFECTIVE BACK IN APRIL 1997. THESE
- 19 HAVE BEEN ON THE BOOKS FOR A WHILE.
- 20 A LOT OF PEOPLE DON'T UNDERSTAND
- 21 THAT THESE ARE EFFECTIVE. THEY FEEL SOMEHOW
- 22 BECAUSE THEY'RE NOT FORMAL YET, THEY DON'T HAVE TO
- 23 ENFORCE THEM. SO AS WE ENTER THE FORMAL
- 24 REGULATORY PACKAGE, I THINK WE NEED SOME FORMAL
- 25 ENFORCEMENT OF THESE REGULATIONS.

ONE THING THAT WAS BROUGHT UP DURING 1 2. THE WORKSHOP ON OPERATING TRAINING WITH THE 3 LEA'S -- I WAS AT THE RICHMOND WORKSHOP -- WAS A 4 LOT OF THE LEA'S WERE SOMEWHAT CONFUSED ABOUT WHAT 5 EXACT PROCESS WE'RE IN RIGHT NOW BECAUSE WE HAVE 6 THESE EMERGENCY REGS ON THE BOOKS, WE'RE REVISING 7 THEM AND FINE-TUNING THEM, LIKE WE NEED TO DO TO 8 MAKE THEM FORMAL, AND THEN WE'RE KICKING OFF A WHOLE NEW PROGRAM TO -- OVER THE NEXT YEAR. 9 ONE THING THEY NEED TO REALIZE IS THAT WE DO HAVE 10 EMERGENCY REGULATIONS IN EFFECT. AND IF THESE GO 11 OUT FOR ANOTHER 15-DAY REVIEW, WE STILL HAVE THE 12 13 SAME EMERGENCY REGULATIONS IN EFFECT. 14 I WAS WORKING TO TRY TO GET SOME 15 TYPE OF LEA ADVISORY OUT TO THE EMERGENCY REGULATIONS SO ALL THESE LEA'S STATEWIDE KNOW THAT 16 17 THESE ARE EFFECTIVE AND THEY DON'T HAVE EXCUSES 18 DOWN IN SAN JOAQUIN OR OTHER PLACES THAT THEY 19 DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT THESE ISSUES. AND I THINK 20 THAT'S BEEN RATHER EVIDENT. THE WASTE BOARD HAS HIGHLIGHTED THESE ISSUES FOR THE LAST TWO MONTHS. 21 22 I BELIEVE THAT EOUAL ENFORCEMENT AND FORMAL 23 ENFORCEMENT ARE NEEDED EVEN FOR THE EMERGENCY

MEMBER RELIS: JUST ON THAT POINT, IF I

24

25

REGULATIONS.

- 1 MIGHT, MR. CHAIR, COULD I ASK MS. RICE. I THOUGHT
- 2 WE ISSUED --
- 3 MS. RICE: WE ISSUED A WHAT WE CALLED A
- 4 DRAFT ADVISORY. WE TERMED IT DRAFT BECAUSE THIS
- 5 RULEMAKING WAS GOING ON, BUT WE INDICATED IN THAT
- 6 ADVISORY, DRAFT OR OTHERWISE, THAT THE EMERGENCY
- 7 REGULATIONS WERE IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT UPON
- 8 THEIR ADOPTION AND FILING WITH OAL. AND THAT
- 9 INFORMATION WAS PROVIDED TO EVERY LEA IN THE
- 10 STATE.
- 11 THERE HAVE CERTAINLY, I AGREE, BEEN
- 12 QUESTIONS ABOUT, AND, YOU KNOW, SOME WERE RAISED
- 13 AGAIN TODAY, ABOUT ARE THE REGULATIONS
- 14 ENFORCEABLE? WHAT DOES THAT MEAN? WHAT ARE THE
- 15 ENFORCEMENT TOOLS? AS ALWAYS, YES, WE GOT
- 16 INFORMATION OUT ON IT, BUT IT WOULD APPEAR THERE
- 17 MAY BE A NEED FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.
- 18 MR. EDGAR: THANKS, MS. RICE. A LOT OF
- 19 TIMES THOSE LEA ADVISORIES GO OUT TO THE INDUSTRY
- 20 AS WELL, AND I GUESS THAT ROUND DIDN'T MAKE IT TO
- 21 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND PROBABLY STAYED INTERNAL, SO
- 22 A LOT OF THE INDUSTRY FOLKS DIDN'T SEE THAT
- 23 BECAUSE WE USE LEA ADVISORIES ON THE EXTERNAL
- 24 SIDE, SO WE UNDERSTAND WHAT'S GOING ON IN THE LEA
- WORLD AS WELL. SO IF YOU DO SEND THEM OUT, WE'D

- 1 LIKE TO HAVE THEM EXTERNAL AS WELL SO WE CAN MAKE
- 2 OUR LEA'S AWARE OF THEM SHOULD THEY NOT BE AWARE
- 3 OF THESE.
- 4 BUT WITH REGARDS TO THE ISSUE TODAY,
- 5 WE RECOGNIZE THESE EXPIRE WITHIN 120 DAYS, WHICH
- 6 WILL BE AUGUST 7TH, SO WE HOPE OAL WOULD GRANT
- 7 ANOTHER 120 DAYS IN ORDER TO KEEP THESE EFFECTIVE.
- 8 SO THAT'S SOMETHING WE NEED TO DO IN THE SHORT
- 9 TERM.
- 10 MR. BLOCK: BRIAN HAD MENTIONED EARLIER
- 11 THAT THESE WERE -- TECHNICALLY THE TERM IS YOU
- 12 ACTUALLY REFILE AND THEY'RE READOPTED, BUT WE
- 13 USUALLY REFER TO THIS AS AN EXTENSION, AND THEY'VE
- 14 BEEN EXTENDED NOW TILL DECEMBER 1ST.
- 15 MR. EDGAR: GREAT. THANK YOU. THAT'S
- 16 IMPORTANT.
- 17 THE FIRST ISSUE WAS BROUGHT UP ABOUT
- 18 HAVING A SOLID WASTE FACILITIES PERMIT, WHENEVER
- 19 WE HAVE A COMPOST FACILITY, WHETHER IT BE AT THE
- 20 LANDFILL UNDER STANDARDIZED PERMIT OR A TRANSFER
- 21 STATION, WE HAVE AN RCSI AND ANY STORAGE OF ANY
- 22 MATERIAL WITHIN OUR RCSI IS DEFINED. AND SOME
- 23 DAYS WE HOLD IT MORE THAN SEVEN DAYS, SOME DAYS
- 24 LESS THAN SEVEN DAYS. BUT WHAT IS IN THESE
- 25 STORAGE REGULATIONS ARE IF YOU HOLD IT LESS THAN

- 1 SEVEN DAYS, YOU'RE EXCLUDED.
- 2 I BELIEVE THE PEOPLE THAT I
- 3 REPRESENT, WE DO HAVE SOMETHING SPELLED OUT IN OUR
- 4 RCSI AND THAT WE DON'T FEEL UNEQUAL TREATMENT
- 5 BECAUSE OF THE FACT THAT WE DO HAVE AN RCSI AND A
- 6 STANDARDIZED COMPOST PERMIT OR REGISTRATION. SO
- 7 WE FEEL THAT ANY PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY WITH
- 8 REGARDS TO THE STORAGE OF THAT MATERIAL IS ALREADY
- 9 HANDLED, AND WE JUST DON'T WANT TO GET ANOTHER
- 10 STORAGE PERMIT, BUT WE FEEL THAT WITHIN THE FULL
- 11 PERMIT OR THE TIERED PERMIT WE HAVE THAT PUBLIC
- 12 HEALTH AND SAFETY OF STORAGE ALREADY CONSIDERED.
- 13 SO WE DON'T FEEL IT'S DUPLICATIVE, BUT WE DON'T
- 14 FEEL IT'S INEQUITABLE EITHER.
- 15 I'M SURE THAT SOME PEOPLE WOULD LIKE
- 16 TO HOLD THEIR MATERIAL FOR LESS THAN SEVEN DAYS AT
- 17 COMPOST FACILITIES AND NOT HAVE IT COUNT; BUT I
- 18 THINK THAT WITHIN THE SPIRIT OF THE COMPOST REGS,
- 19 THE FEEDSTOCK IS PART OF THE OPERATIONAL AREA AND
- 20 THAT WE NEED TO CONSIDER THAT AS PART OF THE CUBIC
- 21 YARDS AND AS PART OF THE ENTIRE STORAGE WITHIN THE
- 22 OPERATIONAL AREA.
- 23 SO WE WOULD -- AS A CUMULATIVE
- 24 IMPACT, WE WOULD SUPPORT THE FACT THAT WE WOULD
- 25 HAVE STORAGE WITHIN THE FULL PERMIT OR THE TIERED

- 1 PERMIT AND NOT BE SUBJECT TO STORAGE REGS AS PART
- 2 OF THIS PACKAGE. THAT MAKE SENSE? I THINK THAT
- 3 COVERS THE INEQUITABLE -- WE DON'T FEEL IT'S
- 4 INEQUITABLE TO NOT HAVE THE SAME SEVEN DAYS
- 5 EXCLUSION.
- 6 WITH REGARDS MARKET PRODUCT, BROUGHT
- 7 A LOT OF ISSUES OUT AT -- BOTH AT THE WORKSHOP I
- 8 WENT TO LAST WEEK. ANOTHER 15 DAYS WOULD BE
- 9 HELPFUL, KNOWING THAT WE HAVE ANOTHER 120 DAYS
- 10 TILL DECEMBER 1ST. IT THINK IT WOULD BE WORTHY TO
- 11 HAVE THE MARKET PRODUCT DEFINITION CIRCULATED
- 12 AGAIN. A LOT OF PEOPLE WERE CONFUSED LAST WEEK,
- AND TODAY WE HAVE SOME NEW LANGUAGE, SO IT WOULD
- 14 BE WORTH ANOTHER 15-DAY REVIEW.
- 15 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: DO YOU HAVE ANY BETTER
- 16 IDEAS?
- 17 MR. EDGAR: NO. IN FACT, THE BIG ISSUE
- 18 WAS BROUGHT UP BY CHUCK WHITE. HE WAS THE PERSON
- 19 THAT WAS HAVING A LOT OF DIFFERENT IDEAS AND WAS
- 20 OF GREAT CONCERN. SO THAT QUESTION HAS BEEN ASKED
- OF ME, AND I DON'T HAVE ANY OTHER IDEAS MYSELF, SO
- 22 I'VE BEEN WILLING TO RESPOND TO ANY NEW AND
- 23 EXCITING IDEAS. BUT I WAS SUPPORTIVE OF WHAT WE
- 24 HAD. READING TODAY'S LANGUAGE I LOOKED AT, I
- 25 THINK OUR INDUSTRY COULD WORK WITH IT UNDER THE

- 1 PEOPLE I'VE TALKED WITH, BUT I HAVEN'T GOT A FULL
- 2 RESPONSE YET AND IT'S LANGUAGE. WE HAVE A CURVE
- 3 BALL, SO IF WE TAKE ANOTHER 15 DAYS, WE COULD
- 4 SUPPORT THAT.
- 5 MY FINAL COMMENT IS I'D LIKE TO
- 6 SUPPORT ELLIOT BLOCK'S LANGUAGE. I THINK WHAT HE
- 7 FLASHED UP ON THE SCREEN WORKS TO HAVE CLARITY OF
- 8 ENFORCEMENT. I THINK A LOT OF PEOPLE NEED THAT,
- 9 AND THEN WE WOULD SUPPORT HIS LANGUAGE AS WELL.
- 10 THANK YOU.
- 11 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. THAT COMPLETES
- 12 ALL OF THE COMMENTERS.
- 13 MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR, I'M PERSUADED
- 14 THAT, BASED ON THE TESTIMONY, THAT WE OUGHT TO
- 15 EXTEND IT 15 DAYS. I DON'T THINK WE HAVE A
- 16 DOWNSIDE TO DO THAT. I THINK OAL WILL GO ALONG
- 17 WITH THIS. AND IT WOULD GIVE US -- AND I LIKE

THE

- 18 SUGGESTION, THE OPTIONAL REVISION LANGUAGE BY
- 19 STAFF TO DEAL WITH THIS MARKET PROBLEM BECAUSE I
- 20 DON'T THINK WE CAN -- I CONTINUE TO BELIEVE WE
- 21 CAN'T DEAL WITH THAT IN THIS SET OF REGULATIONS.
- 22 WE REALLY NEED TO TAKE ON THE WHOLE ENCHILADA,

SO

23 TO SPEAK, OF MARKET, THE MARKET DEFINITION, AND

THAT AS A THOROUGH JOB AND NOT TRY TO PATCH IT HERE.

- 1 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: SO YOU ARE SUGGESTING
- 2 THAT WE NOT TRY TO RECOMMEND ANYTHING IN THE WAY
- 3 OF A MARKET PRODUCT DEFINITION, BUT JUST LEAVE
- 4 THAT --
- 5 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: THAT'S CORRECT.
- 6 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: -- OPEN FOR FURTHER
- 7 DISCUSSION?
- 8 MEMBER RELIS: THAT WOULD BE IN OUR --
- 9 LET'S SEE. WE WOULD DEFER THAT TO THE TIERED --
- 10 MS. RICE: THE ORGANICS PACKAGE. BUT YOU
- 11 ARE SUGGESTING THAT IN THE CURRENT RULEMAKING
- 12 UNDER DISCUSSION TODAY THAT THAT DEFINITION BE
- 13 REMOVED FROM THE REGULATIONS AS PER THE SUGGESTED
- 14 LANGUAGE.
- 15 MEMBER RELIS: THAT'S -- I'M GLAD YOU PUT
- 16 IT THAT WAY. THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT I'M SUGGESTING.
- 17 MEMBER JONES: AND THEN ARE YOU ALSO
- 18 SUGGESTING TO ADD THE LANGUAGE TO CLARIFY THE
- 19 ENFORCEMENT?
- 20 MEMBER RELIS: YES.
- 21 MEMBER JONES: I'LL SECOND THAT.
- 22 MEMBER RELIS: THAT WOULD BE MY

MOTION.

23 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: THE MOTION THEN IS -

\_

MEMBER RELIS: TO EXTEND THE 15-DAY

25 COMMENT PERIOD AND REFERENCE THE DELETION OF THE

- 1 MARKET --
- 2 MS. RICE: IT'S ACTUALLY AN ADDITIONAL
- 3 COMMENT PERIOD, ISN'T IT, ELLIOT, AN ADDITIONAL
- 4 15.
- 5 MR. BLOCK: JUST AN ADDITIONAL.
- 6 MEMBER RELIS: AN ADDITIONAL 15-DAY,
- 7 DELETE THE MARKET REFERENCES, AND ADD ELLIOT'S
- 8 LANGUAGE UNDER 14 CCR 17862.(1)(C).
- 9 MEMBER JONES: THERE'S A C AND A B, I
- 10 THINK.
- 11 MEMBER RELIS: C AND D, THE SAME SECTION
- 12 ONLY D, AND THAT'S THE MOTION.
- 13 MEMBER JONES: I'LL SECOND IT.
- 14 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: MOTION AND SECOND AND I
- 15 HOPE THAT IT'S WELL UNDERSTOOD. I WON'T TRY TO
- 16 RESTATE IT.
- 17 MR. LARIMORE: MAY I SAY SOMETHING. JUST
- 18 THAT INCLUDES ALL THE OPTIONAL LANGUAGE THAT
- 19 ELLIOT BLOCK PRESENTED.
- 20 MEMBER RELIS: YES. AS SUBMITTED.
- 21 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: AND THE INTENT IN
- 22 STRIKING THE MARKET PRODUCT IS NOT A PERMANENT
- 23 STRIKING OF THAT.
- 24 MEMBER RELIS: IT'S TO DEAL WITH IT IN
- 25 THE ORGANICS PACKAGE WHEN I THINK IT'S MORE

- 1 APPROPRIATE TO HAVE ALL THE PARTIES, AND I THINK
- 2 THAT'S THE RIGHT DELIBERATIVE TIME TO DO IT.
- 3 MS. RICE: CORRECT. AND FOR THE BENEFIT
- 4 OF THE AUDIENCE AND BOARD MEMBERS, WE ARE HOPING
- 5 TO HAVE AT LEAST AN ITEM FRAMED WITHIN THE NEXT
- 6 COUPLE OF MONTHS THAT WOULD LAY OUT SOME OF THE
- 7 MAJOR ISSUES WE SEE FOR THAT RULEMAKING SO THAT WE
- 8 CAN GET EARLY DIRECTION FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS IN
- 9 TERMS OF THE SCOPE OF THE RULEMAKING, WHAT YOU SEE
- 10 AS THE BIG ISSUES AND DO WE HAVE THEM ADEQUATELY
- 11 COVERED, SO WE'LL HOPE TO BE DOING THAT WITHIN THE
- 12 NEXT, I'LL SAY, TWO MONTHS.
- 13 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. LET'S CALL THE
- 14 ROLL ON THE MOTION THEN.
- 15 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER RELIS.
- 16 MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
- 17 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER JONES.
- 18 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 19 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN FRAZEE.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE. MOTION IS
- 21 CARRIED. THAT ITEM IS COMPLETE AND WILL NOT GO TO
- BOARD; IS THAT CORRECT?
- 23 MR. BLOCK: NO. ACTUALLY WE'RE GOING TO
- 24 SQUEEZE THE 15-DAY COMMENT IN BETWEEN --
- 25 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: IN BETWEEN, SO IT WILL

```
2
               MR. BLOCK: IT WILL KEEP US ON TRACK.
 3
               CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: NO, NOT ON CONSENT, BUT
 4
      TO THE BOARD. DID WE COMPLETE EVERYTHING?
 5
               MS. RICE: I BELIEVE WE DID.
 6
               CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: IT LOOKS LIKE IT. OPEN
 7
      DISCUSSION. IF THERE IS NOTHING, WE WILL STAND
 8
      ADJOURNED. THANK YOU, ONE AND ALL.
9
10
                   (END OF PROCEEDINGS AT 1:25 P.M.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 GO TO THE BOARD.